Screen shots from CoC Application – data appears in E-snaps, but not on the exported PDF.

Question 1E-3

https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf

BOS | Personal | Media | ICA | National | Coordinated Entry | Research | ADVOCACY | WeWorked: Small Bus | BOS Grants | BOS Lists | COC Competition | DRAMA | CPD Cross-Program F | IAC | W5751 Obrien Rd, Tr | Other bookmarks

outcomes
Included a specific method for evaluating projects submitted by victim service providers
[Yes ▼]

* 1E-2. Severity of Needs and Vulnerabilities. Applicants must describe:
(1) the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities the CoC considered when reviewing, ranking, and rating projects; and
(2) how the CoC takes severity of needs and vulnerabilities into account during the review, rating, and ranking process.
(limit 2,000 characters)

The CoC annually updates a scoring tool based on competition results, feedback & priorities. The tool uses objective factors & data from APR & HMIS to review, rate & rank renewal projects. The specific severe needs & vulnerabilities taken into account in the tool are history of victimization, criminal histories, CH & no income at entry. New to the tool is population specific criteria (32% of total score) where the elements are the same for all project types but the metrics vary based on the needs met by the project. The 5 criteria are: CH, stayers & leavers w/1+ disability (including mental health & substance abuse), entry from street, no income at entry & enroll w/in req VISPDAT scoring range. The scores

* 1E-3. Public Postings. Applicants must indicate how the CoC made public:
(1) objective ranking and selection process the CoC used for all projects (new and renewal);
(2) CoC Consolidated Application–including the CoC Application, Priority Listings, and all projects accepted and ranked or rejected, which HUD required CoCs to post to their websites, or partners websites, at least 2 days before the CoC Program Competition application submission deadline; and
(3) attach documentation demonstrating the objective ranking, rating, and selections process and the final version of the completed CoC Consolidated Application, including the CoC Application with attachments, Priority Listing with reallocation forms and all project applications that were accepted and ranked, or rejected (new and renewal) was made publicly available, that legibly displays the date the CoC publicly posted the documents.

Public Posting of Objective Ranking and Selection Process		**Public Posting of CoC Consolidated Application including: CoC Application, Priority Listings, Project Listings**	
CoC or other Website	☑	CoC or other Website	☑
Email	☑	Email	☑
Mail	☐	Mail	☐
Advertising in Local Newspaper(s)	☐	Advertising in Local Newspaper(s)	☐
Advertising on Radio or Television	☐	Advertising on Radio or Television	☐
Social Media (Twitter, Facebook, etc.)	☑	Social Media (Twitter, Facebook, etc.)	☑

1E-4. Reallocation. Applicants must indicate whether the CoC has cumulatively reallocated at least 20 percent of the CoC's ARD between the FY 2014 and FY 2018 CoC Program Competitions.
* Reallocation: [Yes ▼]

1E-5. Local CoC Competition. Applicants must indicate whether the CoC:
(1) established a deadline for project applications that was no later than 30 days before the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition Application deadline–attachment required;
(2) rejected or reduced project application(s)–attachment required; and
(3) notify applicants that their project application(s) were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps, at least 15 days before FY 2018 CoC Program Competition Application deadline–attachment required. :

(1) Did the CoC establish a deadline for project applications that was no later than 30 days before the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition Application deadline? Attachment required.
[Yes ▼]

(2) If the CoC rejected or reduced project application(s), did the CoC notify applicants that their project application(s) were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps, at least 15 days before FY 2018 CoC Program Competition Application deadline? Attachment required.
[Yes ▼]

(3) Did the CoC notify applicants that their applications were accepted and ranked on the Priority Listing in writing outside of e-snaps, at least 15 before days of the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition Application deadline?
[Yes ▼]

Question 3B-2.3

History of or Vulnerability to Victimization (e.g. domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse)	☑
Number of previous homeless episodes	☑
Unsheltered homelessness	☑
Criminal History	☑
Bad credit or rental history	☑
Head of Household with Mental/Physical Disability	☑

* 3B-2.2. Applicants must:
(1) describe the CoC's current strategy to rapidly rehouse every household of families with children within 30 days of becoming homeless;
(2) describe how the CoC addresses both housing and service needs to ensure families successfully maintain their housing once assistance ends; and
(3) provide the organization name or position title responsible for overseeing the CoCs strategy to rapidly rehouse families with children within 30 days of becoming homeless.
(limit 2,000 characters)

BOS CE & order of priority req all CoC & ESG RRH to enroll based on VISPDAT & LOTH, prioritizing the most vulnerable. Coalitions invest in LL liaisons & housing nav to expedite housing search/placement; recruit & education LL; negotiate & mediate issues; address inspection concerns & serve as a resource before/after housing. ES & CM help clients get doc-ready (disability & homeless ver & CH timeline). Case conf occurs to review PL, id housing solutions beyond CoC or ESG & work to secure flexible funding options. The CoC supports capacity bldg, match w/expertise-DV & youth, provide best practice training & resources. Average range is 45-90 days due to limited housing resources & people w/higher needs.

3B-2.3. Antidiscrimination Policies. Applicants must check all that apply that describe actions the CoC is taking to ensure providers (including emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent supportive housing (PSH and RRH) within the CoC adhere to antidiscrimination policies by not denying admission to or separating any family members from other members of their family or caregivers based on age, sex, gender, LGBT status, marital status, or disability when entering a shelter or housing.

CoC conducts mandatory training for all CoC and ESG funded service providers on these topics.	☑
CoC conducts optional training for all CoC and ESG funded service providers on these topics.	☐
CoC has worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.	☑
CoC has worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC and ESG funded facilities within the CoC geographic area that may be out of compliance, and taken steps to work directly with those facilities to come into compliance.	☑
CoC has sought assistance from HUD through submitting AAQs or requesting TA to resolve non-compliance of service providers.	☑

* 3B-2.4. Strategy for Addressing Needs of Unaccompanied Youth Experiencing Homelessness. Applicants must indicate whether the CoC's strategy to address the unique needs of unaccompanied homeless youth includes the following:

Human trafficking and other forms of exploitation	Yes ▼
LGBT youth homelessness	Yes ▼
Exits from foster care into homelessness	Yes ▼
Family reunification and community engagement	Yes ▼
Positive Youth Development, Trauma Informed Care, and the use of Risk and Protective Factors in assessing youth housing and service needs	Yes ▼

3B-2.5. Prioritizing Unaccompanied Youth Experiencing Homelessness Based on Needs. Applicants must check all that apply from the list below that describes the CoC's current strategy to prioritize unaccompanied youth based on their needs.

History or Vulnerability to Victimization (e.g., domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse)	☑
Number of Previous Homeless Episodes	☑

Question 3B-5b

https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf

Personal | Media | ICA | National | Coordinated Entry | Research | ADVOCACY | WeWorked: Small Bus | BOS Grants | BOS Lists | COC Competition | DRAMA | CPD Cross-Program F | IAC | W5751 Obrien Rd, Tre | Other bookmarks

(1) indicate whether the CoC assessed whether there are racial disparities in the provision or outcome of homeless assistance;

(2) if the CoC conducted an assessment, attach a copy of the summary.

* 3B-5a. Applicants must select from the options below the results of the CoC's assessment.

People of different races or ethnicities are more or less likely to receive homeless assistance.	☑
People of different races or ethnicities are more or less likely to receive a positive outcome from homeless assistance.	☑
There are no racial disparities in the provision or outcome of homeless assistance.	☐
The results are inconclusive for racial disparities in the provision or outcome of homeless assistance.	☐

* 3B-5b. Applicants must select from the options below the strategies the CoC is using to address any racial disparities.

The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	☐
The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	☐
The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	☑
The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups	☑
The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	☑
The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	☐
The CoC has staff, committees or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	☑
The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national non-profit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	☑
The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	☑
The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	☑
The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	☑
Other:	☐

Save & Back	Save	Save & Next

Back	Next

Check Spelling

CSDC

You forwarded this message on 9/13/2018 12:09 PM.

If there are problems with how this message is displayed, click here to view it in a web browser.

Thank you for submitting a question via the HUD Exchange. The response to your question is listed below.

Requestor Name: Carrie Poser

Requestor Email: carrie.poser@wibos.org

Question Related To: e-snaps

Question ID: 126724

Question Subject:

Check boxes not appearing checked when Collaborative Application Exported

Question Text:

In the CoC Application, questions 1E-3 and 3B-2.3, in esnaps I have the boxes checked that I reflect our answers. However, when I export out the application to review, those boxes are empty. I have attached what I see when I export the application & a screen shot of what I see in esnaps.

Response:

Thank you for contacting us.

This is a known issue affecting the CoC Application - 1E.3, 3B 2.3 and 3B 5b, but it will not affect your application or the submission. If you want to make sure you have a hard copy that is accurate, you can take a screenshot of the affected screens.

Please see this resource for how to capture a screenshot:

- **https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/3118/creating-a-zip-file-and-capturing-a-screenshot-resource/**