COC COMPETITION SCORING

Carrie Poser

CoC Director, WI
Balance of State CoC

May 2022

Overview

FY21 Scoring Results

Bonus Points

FY22 Scoring Process

Questions?

WIBOSCOC

FY21 CoC Competition Scoring Results

WIBOSCOC

2. CoC Scoring Summary (from FY 2021 CoC NOFO)

Scoring Category	Maximum Score (Points)	Your CoC Score (Points)
1B. and 1C. CoC Coordination and Engagement	74.5	70.5
1D. Addressing COVID-19 in the CoC's Geographic Area	21.5	21
1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking	30	28.5
2A. Homeless Management Information System	11	9
2B. Point-in-Time Count	3	3
2C. System Performance	23	20.75
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare Bonus Points	10	0
Total CoC Application Score*	**173**	**152.75**

3. Overall Scores for all CoCs

Highest Score for any CoC	168.25
Lowest Score for any CoC	60.25
Median Score for all CoCs	143
Weighted Mean Score** for all CoCs	155.5

*does not include bonus points for mergers

**The weighted mean score is the mean CoC score weighted by Annual Renewal Demand. CoCs that scored higher than the weighted mean score were more likely to gain funding relative to their Annual Renewal Demand, while CoCs that scored lower than the weighted mean were more likely to lose money relative to their Annual Renewal Demand.

- Balance of State score = **152.75** out of 173.

- The Weighted Mean Score was **155.5**. This means if we want a chance at getting Tier 2 projects funded, we needed to have scored that or higher.

- **IF** we would have submitted a BONUS project that met the criteria for "coordination with housing and healthcare bonus" we would have received 10 additional points. 152.75 + 10 = 162.75. This would have been over the 155.5 weighted mean score.
  - We would have likely received at least one or more of the projects placed on Tier 2. Instead, we received 0 projects placed on Tier 2.

- **IF** we would have scored 3 more points within the regular application, our score would have been at or above the weighted mean score (155.5).
  - We would likely have received one or more projects placed on Tier 2.

1. High Priority CoC Application Questions

CoC Application Question	NOFO Section	Maximum Points Available	Points Your CoC Application Received
1C. Coordination and Engagement–Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations			
1C-9. Housing First–Lowering Barriers to Entry. **1C-9a.** Housing First–Project Evaluation.	VII.B.1.i.	10	10
1C-10. Street Outreach–Scope. Describe in the field below: 1. your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged; 2. whether your CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area; 3. how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and	VII.B.1.j.	3	3
4. how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.			
1C-12. Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC). Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC–only enter bed data for projects that have an inventory type of "Current."	VII.B.1.l.	10	10
1C-15. Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness–Assessing Racial Disparities. **1C-15a.** Racial Disparities Assessment Results. **1C-15b.** Strategies to Address Racial Disparities. **1C-15c.** Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness Beyond Areas Identified in Racial Disparity Assessment.	VII.B.1.o.	7	7

In 1B & 1C, there was a total possible score of 74.5. We got **70.5**. Lost 4 points.

Places we likely lost points:
- 1B-1. Inclusive Structure – focus on VSP, Youth, Tribal (1 pt)
- 1B-2. New Member Invitation – focus on organizations serving culturally specific communities (1 pt)
- 1C-1 & 2. Coordination with other federal, state, local, private org in the planning or operation of projects; consultation in planning and allocation of ESG and ESG CV (2 pts)
- 1C-4. Collaboration Related to Children & Youth – formal partnerships (MOU) with early childhood & school districts (3 pts)
- 1C-6. LGBT – demonstrate LGBT serving org are included in the CoC membership; annually conducting trainings; and implementing CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy (5 pts)
- 1C-16. Lived Experience – active involvement, experience was less than 7 years ago & unsheltered (1 pt)

WIBOSCOC

1D. Addressing COVID-19 in the CoC's Geographic Area

These questions assessed how CoCs addressed challenges resulting from the outbreak of COVID-19 affecting individuals and families experiencing homelessness. **1D-1.** Safety Protocols Implemented to Address Immediate Needs of People Experiencing Unsheltered, Congregate Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing Homelessness. **1D-2.** Improving Readiness for Future Public Health Emergencies. **1D-3.** CoC Coordination to Distribute ESG Cares Act (ESG-CV) Funds. **1D-4.** CoC Coordination with Mainstream Health. **1D-5.** Communicating Information to Homeless Service Providers. **1D-6.** Identifying Eligible Persons Experiencing Homelessness for COVID-19 Vaccination. **1D-7.** Addressing Possible Increases in Domestic Violence. **1D-8.** Adjusting Centralized or Coordinated Entry System.	VII.B.1.e., VII.B.1.n., VII.B.1.q.	21.5	21

1E. Project Review, Ranking, and Selection

1E-2. and 1E-2a. Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. These questions assessed whether your CoC used objective criteria and past performance to review and rank projects based on required attachments. 1. At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH). 2. At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness). 3. Used data from a comparable database to score projects submitted by victim service providers. 4. Used objective criteria to evaluate how projects submitted by victim service providers improved safety for the population they serve. 5. Used a specific method for evaluating projects based on the CoC's analysis of rapid returns to permanent housing. 6. Specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities your CoC considered when ranking and selecting projects; and	VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d.	22	21

In 1D, there was a total possible score of 21.5. We got **21**. Lost 0.5 pts.

In 1E, there was a total possible score of 30. We got **28.5**. Lost 1.5 pts.

We likely lost those points because of #3 and #4.

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Bed Coverage			
2A-5. Bed Coverage Rate–Using HIC, HMIS Data. 2A-5b. Bed Coverage Rate in Comparable Databases.	VII.B.3.c.	6	6
2A-6. Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0. Did your CoC submit LSA data to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 15, 2021, 8 p.m. EST?	VII.B.3.d.	2	2

In 2A, there was a total possible score of 11. We got **9**. Lost 2 pts.

We lost the 2 points because of this question:
- 2A-4. Describe below actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC:

    (1) Have a comparable database that collets the same data elements required in the HUD-published 2020 HMIS data standards; and

    (2) Submit de-identified aggregated system performance measures data for each project in the comparable database to your CoC and HMIS lead.

In 2C, there was a total possible score of 23. We got **20.75**. Lost 2.25 pts.

System Performance Measure	Points (CoC / Max)	Lost
2C-1: Reduction in First Time Homeless #	3 out of 3	0
2C-2: Length of Time Homeless	5.5 out of 6	0.5
2C-3: Exits to Permanent Housing	4.5 out of 5	0.5
2C-4: Returns to Homelessness	3 out of 4	1.0
2C-5: Increasing Employment Cash Income	3.75 out of 4	0.25

Points lost:
- 2C-2: 1 point awarded for at least a 5% reduction in LOTH.   **Balance of State = 8.0% increase (increased average number of nights)**

- 2C-3: 1 point awarded for at least a 5% increase in Exits.   **Balance of State = 5.0% decrease (% successful exits ES, SH, TH, RRH)**
  1.0% increase (% successful exits PSH)

- 2C-4: 1 point awarded for at least a 5% reduction in returns.   **Balance of State = increased rate of returns 6-12 months & 2 years**
  (increased % that exit successfully and then return to homelessness)

- 2C-5: 0.5 point awarded for an increase in earned and non-earned income. **Balance of State = stayers who increased total income (2% increase)**
  leavers who increased total income (5% decrease)

Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

To comply with the FY 2021 Appropriations Act, HUD is providing an incentive through bonus points for CoCs to submit <u>at least one new permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing project</u> that demonstrates coordination between housing providers and healthcare organizations through reallocation or the CoC Bonus.

To receive maximum available points, CoCs must submit at least one new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project demonstrating coordination with housing providers and healthcare organizations.

A. CoCs may apply for at least one new permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness, including:

- **direct contributions** from a public or private health insurance provider to the project, or
- **provision of health care services** by a private or public organization tailored to the program participants of the project.

B. Eligibility for the project must be based on HUD CoC Program fair housing requirements and cannot be restricted by the health care service provider.

C. Though your CoC may submit several new projects meeting these criteria, the NOFO states CoC's will receive full points by demonstrating that they have applied for at least one permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing project that utilizes healthcare resources not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs.

CoCs must demonstrate through a written commitment from a health care organization that the value of assistance being provided is at least:

(a) in the case of a substance abuse treatment or recovery provider, it will provide access to treatment or recovery services for all program participants who quality and choose those services; or

(b) an amount that is equivalent to 25% of the funding being requested for the project will be covered by the healthcare organization.

Acceptable forms of commitment are formal written agreements <u>and</u> must include:
•   value of the commitment and
•   dates the healthcare resources will be provided.

In-kind resources must be valued at the local rates consistent with the amount paid for services not supported by grant funds.

Areas in Need of Improvement

Engagement with organizations led by and serving Black, brown, Indigenous and other people of color	Engagement with organizations led by and serving LGBT persons	Engagement with Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated housing entities
Formalized partnerships with youth education providers, homeless liaisons, and school district staff	Formalized partnerships with early childhood service providers	Homeless preferences, moving on strategies, and joint applications with PHA
CoC-wide racial disparity assessment & development of strategies used to address racial equity	Active participation by people with lived experience	Ensuring that DV comparable databases collect the same data elements required in the HUD 2020 HMIS data standards
Collection of DV de-identified, aggregated SPM	Efforts around decreasing length of time homeless & reoccurrence; increasing exits to PH, income, & non-earned income	Coordination with housing and healthcare. No bonus points*

Green = YHDP
Blue = CoC
Purple = Local coalition
Teal = Mixed

WIBOSCOC

FY22 CoC Competition

CoC Scoring Tool

- This is the tool used to score CoC funded projects.

- It is designed to award points to projects that positively contribute to system performance of the CoC as a whole.

- Release for comment and feedback on Monday, May 16th. Deadline **June 3rd.**

Placement of Bonus projects

- HUD does not dictate where a CoC should place bonus projects.

- HUD determines the total amount of renewal funds that can be placed on Tier 1. The rest must go on Tier 2.
  - 2019 = 94% limit
  - 2021 = 100% limit
  - 2022 = ? (highly unlikely it will be 100%)

- If bonus projects are placed on Tier 1, renewal projects will be moved to Tier 2.

WIBOSCOC

Impact

- In the past, the Board has voted on where to place bonus projects. That decision has varied – top of Tier 2, bottom of Tier 2, bottom of Tier 1.

- For several reasons, including potential conflict of interest and the need to encourage non-traditional partners to collaborate and put together quality proposals, I have proposed to the Board that we handle this decision a bit differently this year.

- We will host a listening session for any CoC funded agency staff to participate. The purpose of the meeting is to hear concerns, ask questions, hear feedback around the placement of bonus projects on Tier 1 or Tier 2.

- Following the listening session, members of the Board who do not receive CoC housing funds would create a workgroup. The workgroup would take into consideration:
  - Feedback from the listening session,
  - Comments submitted through the comment period, and
  - Weigh the impact on the CoC as a whole, future scoring and funding implications with the impact of lost funding in a particular community or within an agency.

- The workgroup would ultimately determine where bonus projects will be placed on the Tiers during the FY22 Competition.

Questions?