

2016-2017 Board of Director Project Scoring Tool

Each COC-funded project will be ranked using the WI BOS Project Scoring Tool. The scoring criteria is based on performance – as reported through an HMIS APR (because e-snaps APR is not available at this time), last calendar year Quarterly APR submission, and timely completion of COC goals. The maximum possible number of points a project can earn varies based on type.*

- Permanent Supportive Housing Max points = 92
- Shelter Plus Care Max points = 92
- Safe Haven Max points = 92
- Transitional Housing Max points = 87
- Rapid Re-Housing Max points = 82
- DV projects (TH) Max points = 67
- HMIS Max points = 10

Where do the points come from?

Part 1	Timely Submission: APR, QAPR, PIT, Competition	0 pts.	COC Compliance	Penalty Only
Part 2	Program: Effective Use of Federal Funds, Unit Utilization, Data Completeness, LOCCS draw, program eligibility	30 pts.	From HMIS APR and HMIS Entry/Exit Report From report requested from HUD regarding quarterly drawdown and expenditures	33% of total
Part 3	HUD Performance Measures: Housing Stability, Increase Earned Income, Increase Non-Earned Income, Mainstream Benefits	32 pts.	From HMIS APR and HMIS Entry/Exit Report	35% of total
Part 4	Population (%): Chronic Homeless, Adult with Disabilities, Street/Shelter	15 pts.*	From HMIS APR and HMIS Entry/Exit Report	16% of total
Part 5	Risk Adjustment: High Risk Pool Score	10 pts.	HMIS Generated Report (10/1/2014 – 12/31/2015)	11% of total
Part 6	Reoccurrence	5 pts.	HMIS Generated Report (10/1/2014 – 12/31/2015)	5% of total
Part 7	Point-in-Time Requirement: Participation and Data Submission	0 pts.	Post-PIT Survey	Penalty Only

**Note, TH can earn a max of 10 points and RRH can earn a max of 5 points (Part 4).*

Point Breakdown:

***Part 1: Timely Submission - No points awarded. Penalty Points assessed.*

Criteria	0 points	-2 points
HMIS APR submitted on time	On time	
HMIS Entry/Exit report submitted on time	On time	
QAPR 1 (2016) submitted on time	On time	
QAPR 2 (2016) submitted on time	On time	
QAPR 3 (2015) submitted on time	On time	
QAPR 4 (2015) submitted on time	On time	
July 2015 PIT data submitted on time	On time	
January 2016 PIT data submitted on time	On time	
Turned in Board requested information for the purposes of the Collaborative Application on time	On time	
Turned in Project Application for review on time	On time	

***Part 2: Program Requirements (30 points possible)*

Criteria	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point
Effective Use of Federal Funds	Spent 90-100% of grant	Spent 80-89% of grant	Spent 75-79%	N/A	N/A
Unit Utilization	96-100%	90-95%	80-89%	70 - 79%	69% or less
Data Completeness: Don't Know, Missing, Refused	0% - 1.0%	1.1% - 2%	2.1% - 3%	3.1% - 4%	Greater than 4.1%
LOCCS Drawdown Rates	Once per quarter	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Participant Eligibility: PSH	75-100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Participant Eligibility: TH	80-100%	60-79%	40-59%	20-39%	<19%
Participant Eligibility: RRH	75-100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Housing First and Low Barrier	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Exceptions:

(1) Agencies voluntarily reallocating project shall be exempt from scoring in the categories of “Effective Use of Federal Funds” and “Unit Utilization.”

(2) New and first year renewals shall be exempt from scoring in the category of “Effective Use of Federal Funds” and “Unit Utilization.”

(3) If an agency cannot access LOCCS due to contractual issues with HUD, the agency is responsible to provide evidence of this situation to the Balance of State. If sufficient proof is provided, the agency will be exempt from the category of “LOCCS Drawdown Rates.”

Explanation:

- (1) PSH Eligibility: Disability and Category 1 of the Homeless Definition
- (2) TH Eligibility: Disability and Category 1 or 4 of the Homeless Definition
- (3) RRH Eligibility: Category 1 of the Homeless Definition

*****Part 3: HUD Performance Measures (32 points possible)***

Criteria	8 points	6 points	3 points	0 points
HUD Goal: Housing Stability (PSH, S+C) 80%+	90% or higher	80 – 89%	70 – 79%	Under 69%
HUD Goal: Housing Stability (non-PSH) 65%+	75% or higher	65 – 74%	55 – 64%	Under 54%
HUD Goal: Increase Earned Income (20%)	30% or higher	20-29%	10 – 19%	Under 9%
HUD Goal: Increase Other (Non-Earned) Income (54%)	54% or higher	35 – 53%	20 – 34%	Under 19%
HUD Goal: Mainstream Benefits (56%)	65% or higher	56 – 64%	45 – 55%	Under 44%

*****Part 4: Population (15 points possible for PSH, SH, S+C; 10 points possible for TH; 5 points possible RRH)***

Criteria	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point
Percentage of Chronic Homeless (PSH, SH, S+C)	75% or higher	50-74%	25-49%	10-24%	9% or less
Percentage of Adult with Disabilities (PSH, SH, S+C, TH)	75% or higher	50-74%	25-49%	10-24%	9% or less
Percentage from Shelter or Place Not Meant for Human Habitation	90% or higher	75-89%	50-74%	30-49%	29% or less

****Part 5: Risk Adjustment (10 points possible)**

Criteria	10 points	6 points	3 points	0 points
Risk Adjustment Score	75 – 100% 49-65 pts.	50 - 74% 32-48 pts.	25 - 49% 16-31 pts.	Less 24% 0-15 pts.

This score is calculated by ranking all projects from highest rank score to lowest rank score. The highest being 65 points and lowest being 8 points. With the score of 65 being 100%, the scores were calculated as a percentage of the highest score. The projects were not separated by type.

Five risk factors were selected for the model based on scholarship, supported by Wisconsin outcomes, and sufficiently documented in HMIS. These include:

- Chronic Homelessness
- Coming from the streets (or a place not meant for human habitation)
- AODA
- Mental Health Problem
- No Income in past 30 days (upon program entry)

****Part 6: Reoccurrence (5 points possible)**

Criteria	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point
Reoccurrence Rate	0 - 5%	5.1 – 10%	10.1 – 15%	15.1% - 20%	20.1% +

Note: Reoccurrence is calculated based on the number of people that exit a COC funded housing program and return to an Emergency Shelter that uses HMIS.

****Part 7: Point-in-Time Requirement - No points awarded. Penalty Points assessed.**

Criteria	Subtract
Non-Participation by COC Funded agency in overnight Street Count during the January PIT – penalty applies to the agency only.	10 points
Late submission of Final Deadline for January PIT data – this will be applied to the entire local continua.	10 points
Non-Participation by COC Funded agency in overnight Street Count during the July PIT – penalty applies to the agency only.	10 points
Late submission of Final Deadline for July PIT data – this will be applies to the entire local continua.	10 points

***Overall Exceptions:**

There are a few projects that have different maximum points possible, and therefore are exceptions to this general rule.

- (1) HMIS grant will be placed on Tier 1.

- (2) New projects awarded in the last competition are required to begin in 2016. At this point, none of them have officially begun. These projects will be placed on Tier 1.
 - KHDS PSH
 - NEWCAP Brown PSH
 - ADVOCAP RRH
 - House of Mercy RRH

- (3) New projects awarded in past competition as 3 year grant, is not yet renewable.
 - ADVOCAP PSH

Tiebreaker:

Once the total number of points are calculated, the number of points earned will be divided by the total possible points for that project type. The resulting percentage will be placed in descending order, highest at top and lowest at bottom. If there is a tie between projects, a tiebreaker score will be used.

The tiebreaker score will be based on cost effectiveness. This is the same measure that was used two years ago. The total HUD grant award amount will be divided by the number of successful outcomes (leaving to permanent housing).

Example

A program gets \$100,000 grant. 25 households successfully went to permanent housing. The cost per successful outcome is: \$4,000.