

Point Breakdown:

****Part 1: Timely Submission - No points awarded. Penalty Points assessed.**

Criteria	0 points	-2 points
APR submitted on time to HUD	On time	
QAPR 1 (2015) submitted on time	On time	
QAPR 2 (2015) submitted on time	On time	
QAPR 3 (2014) submitted on time	On time	
QAPR 4 (2014) submitted on time	On time	
January 2015 PIT data submitted on time	On time	
July 2015 PIT data submitted on time	On time	
Turned in Board requested information for the purposes of the Collaborative Application on time	On time	
Turned in Project Application for review on time	On time	

****Part 2: Program Requirements (15 points possible)**

Criteria	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point
Effective Use of Federal Funds	Spent 90-100% of grant	Spent 80-89% of grant	Spent 70-79% of grant	Spent 60-69% of grant	Spent 59% or less
Unit Utilization	96-100%	90-95%	80-89%	70 - 79%	69% or less
Data Completeness: Don't Know, Missing, Refused	0% - 1.0%	1.1% - 2%	2.1% - 3%	3.1% - 4%	Greater than 4.1%

- *Note: Unit Utilization does not apply to SSO programs.*
- *Note: West CAP TH and ADVOCAP TH returned funds because of voluntary reallocation. Scoring on "effective use of federal funds" does not apply to those two projects.*

****Part 3: HUD Performance Measures (20 points possible)**

Criteria	5 points	4 points	3 points	0 points
HUD Goal: Housing Stability (PSH, S+C) 80%+	90% or higher	80 – 89%	70 – 79%	Under 69%
HUD Goal: Housing Stability (non-PSH) 65%+	75% or higher	65 – 74%	55 – 64%	Under 54%
HUD Goal: Increase Earned Income (20%)	30% or higher	20-29%	10 – 19%	Under 9%
HUD Goal: Increase Other (Non-Earned) Income (54%)	54% or higher	35 – 53%	20 – 34%	Under 19%
HUD Goal: Increase Mainstream Benefits (56%)	65% or higher	56 – 64%	45 – 55%	Under 44%

****Part 4: Population (12 points possible for PSH, SH, S+C; 8 points possible for all other programs)**

Criteria	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 points	0 points
Percentage of Chronic Homeless (PSH, SH, S+C)	75% or higher	50-74%	25-49%	10-24%	9% or less
Percentage of Adult with Disabilities	75% or higher	50-74%	25-49%	10-24%	9% or less
Percentage from Shelter or Place Not Meant for Human Habitation	75% or higher	50-74%	25-49%	10-24%	9% or less

****Part 5: Risk Adjustment (10 points possible)**

Criteria	10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points
Risk Adjustment Score	75 – 100% 49-65 pts.	50 - 74% 32-48 pts.	25 - 49% 16-31 pts.	Less 24% 0-15 pts.

This score is calculated by ranking all projects from highest rank score to lowest rank score. The highest being 65 points and lowest being 8 points. With the score of 65 being 100%, the scores were calculated as a percentage of the highest score. The projects were not separated by type.

****Part 6: Reoccurrence (5 points possible)**

Criteria	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point
Reoccurrence Rate	0 - 5%	5.1 – 10%	10.1 – 15%	15.1% - 20%	20.1% +

****Part 7: Point-in-Time Requirement - No points awarded. Penalty Points assessed.**

Criteria	Subtract
Non-Participation by COC Funded agency in overnight Street Count during the January PIT – penalty applies to the agency only.	10 points
Late submission of Final Deadline for January PIT data – this will be applied to the entire local continua.	15 points
Non-Participation by COC Funded agency in overnight Street Count during the July PIT – penalty applies to the agency only.	10 points
Late submission of Final Deadline for July PIT data – this will be applied to the entire local continua.	15 points

***Exceptions:**

There are a few projects that have different maximum points possible, and therefore are exceptions to this general rule.

- (1) HMIS grant will be placed on Tier 1.
- (2) New projects awarded in the last competition are required to begin in 2015. At this point, none of them have officially begun. These projects will be placed on Tier 1.
 - ADVOCAP RRH
 - ADVOCAP Winnebagoland PSH
 - Lakeshore CAP RRH
 - West CAP RRH
 - Western Dairyland RRH
- (3) New projects awarded in past competition as 3 year grant, is not yet renewable.
 - ADVOCAP PSH

Tiebreaker:

Once the total number of points are calculated, the number of points earned will be divided by the total possible points for that project type. The resulting percentage will be placed in descending order, highest at top and lowest at bottom. If there is a tie between projects, a tiebreaker score will be used.

The tiebreaker score will be based on cost effectiveness. This is the same measure that was used two years ago. The total HUD grant award amount will be divided by the number of successful outcomes (leaving to permanent housing).

Example

A program gets \$100,000 grant. 25 households successfully went to permanent housing. The cost per successful outcome is: \$4,000.