

1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool

In this combined PDF file, there are 6 pieces of evidence to show the scoring tool the CoC used in the local competition to score new and renewal ranked projects and all project application types. This includes:

- Maximum points available and actual points awarded for each project
- Maximum points available and actual points awarded for objective criteria for the project application including ELOCCS, unit utilization, funds spent, data completeness, commitment to housing first, and coordinated entry
- Maximum points available and actual points awarded for system performance criteria for the project application including housing stability, increase income and non-earned income, reoccurrence, length of time homeless by project type, and exits to permanent housing
- Maximum points available and actual points awarded for projects that addressed specific severe barrier to housing and services including chronicity, disability, no income, unsheltered at entry
- Data from comparable databases used to score projects submitted by victim service providers

(1) **WIBOSCOC Project Scoring Tool Explanation 2023 - Final**

This document provides a detailed explanation of the scoring tool process including timeline, CoC Board policy decisions, where points come from, how the data is collected for each component, and which metrics are used to calculate the final score. This includes objective measures, system performance measures, and how projects addressing specific severe barriers to housing and services were scored. This document was sent out by email and posted on the WIBOSCOC website.

(2) **WIBOSCOC Permanent Housing Bonus RFP Rubric 2023**

This document was sent out to the CoC membership by email at the same time as the instructions and application & posted on the WIBOSCOC website. It was used by the review team to score new project applications for the Permanent Housing Bonus funding.

On page 8, under Appendix 1 – both All Project Types, the parameter required that data be submitted along with the application. The data included PIT, coordinated entry, and other data sources including Osnum or another comparable database. This data was used to demonstrate need for the Bonus project within the community.

(3) **WIBOSCOC DV RRH Bonus RFP Rubric 2023**

This document was sent out to the CoC membership by email at the same time as the instructions and application and posted on the WIBOSCOC website. It was used by the review team to score new project applications for the DV Bonus funding.

On page 9, under Appendix 1, the third parameter required that data be submitted along with the application. The data included PIT, coordinated entry, and other data sources including Osnum or another comparable database. This data was used to demonstrate need for the Bonus project within the community.

(4) WIBOSCOC DV RRH SOLO Bonus RFP Rubric 2023

This document was sent out to the CoC membership by email at the same time as the instructions and application and posted on the WIBOSCOC website. It was used by the review team to score new project applications for the DV Bonus funding.

On page 8 and 9, under Appendix 1 for RRH and Joint TH/RRH, the third parameter required that data be submitted along with the application. The data included PIT, coordinated entry, and other data sources including Osnum or another comparable database. This data was used to demonstrate need for the Bonus project within the community.

(5) WIBOSCOC Project Scoring Tool 2023 – FINAL

There are 8 parts to the CoC Project Scoring Tool. Each Microsoft Excel Spreadsheet tab has been saved separately.

- **WIBOSCOC Project Scoring Tool FY23-FINAL-Explanation Tab**
 - This tab explains the process used for the other tabs: ranking, tiebreaker, scoring-points, evaluation, data, and additional explanation.
- **WIBOSCOC Project Scoring Tool FY23-FINAL-Final Ranking Tab**
 - This tab is the final ranking of all projects in the CoC. This matches the ranked order in the Priority Listing. Column A is the rank. Column B is the applicant name. Column C is the project name. Column D indicates the project type. Column E is the project score (%) which is calculated by dividing the total points the project received by the total points possible. Column F is the project score (pts) which is the number of total points the project received / total points possible. Column G is the project status – accepted or rejected for scoring purposes. Column H is the total amount of funding requested by the project. Column I is the tiebreaker amount calculated from the tiebreaker tab.
- **WIBOSCOC Project Scoring Tool FY23-FINAL-Tiebreaker Tab**
 - This tab is the tiebreaker calculations for each project. The CoC approved the use of cost per successful outcome to determine scoring ties. The lower the cost, the better. There are two sections – one for TH/RRH projects and one for PSH projects. Column A is the agency name. Column B is the project type. Column C is the project name. Column D is the total award which matches the most recently submitted HUD APR. Column E is the number of leavers, minus people that died during the project. Column F is the number of exits the project had to permanent housing. For TH/RRH projects, column G is blacked out and column H is equal to column F. For PSH projects, column G is the number of stayers in the project – retaining their permanent housing and column H is the total of Column F (exits to permanent housing) and Column G (stayers). Column I is the cost per successful outcome (dividing Column D by Column H).
- **WIBOSCOC Project Scoring Tool FY23-FINAL-Scoring Points Tab**
 - This tab shows all the parts, criteria, metrics, and points awarded in the scoring process. On the far-right side, the chart shows the total points possible (192); objective criteria (83); system performance (61); and additional (48). Objective

criteria make up 43% of total and system performance makes up 32%. The chart is also color coded. Blue for objective criteria, green for system performance criteria, and orange for additional criteria. For specific severe barriers, the 1st column is purple (while the percentages remain the color of the particular criteria type, i.e. objective, system performance, etc). These include: length of time homeless (SPM), no income (add'l), disability-substance abuse (add'l), unsheltered (add'l), and chronicity (obj).

- **WIBOSCOC Project Scoring Tool FY23-FINAL-Evaluation Tab**
 - This tab is the scoring for each project. Row 1 is the agency name. Row 2 is the project name. Column A indicates which part of the scoring. Column B is the scoring criteria and the total points for this section. The rest of the chart shows how many points a project received for each metric. Row 36 shows the total points awarded (minus any penalty points). Row 37 shows any bonus points the project was awarded. Row 38 is Row 36 plus Row 37. Row 39 shows the total possible points available for the project. Row 40 shows the percentage score (Row 38 number divided by Row 39 number).
- **WIBOSCOC Project Scoring Tool FY23-FINAL-Data Tab**
 - This tab shows the actual data collected for each criteria for each project. Data came from APRs, HUD eloccs reports, HMIS data reports, comparable database reports, PIT reports, CE assessment, Housing First assessment, and project applications.
- **WIBOSCOC Project Scoring Tool FY23-FINAL-Additional Explanation Tab**
 - This project further explains 6 different areas of the scoring tool: action plan scoring, Housing First-HMIS reports, Housing First-Monitoring Assessment, coordinated entry, coordinated entry bonus points, and board approved policy decisions and exceptions.
- **WIBOSCOC Project Scoring Tool FY23-FINAL-No Data Collected Tab**
 - This tab includes information collected on 4 projects that are not scored but reviewed. Their placement in the scoring process & final ranking is determined by the CoC Board.

(6) WIBOSCOC Scoring Breakdown – FY23 Renewal & New Projects

- This summary document shows each renewal project, new permanent housing bonus project, and new DV RRH expansion grant project final scores and percentage of the total.
- There are several charts on this Excel Spreadsheet.
 - The Project Evaluation Scores show the total earned points plus any bonus points for each renewal project, the total possible points for that project, and the percentage score.
 - The Listing shows the 29 renewal project percentages from highest to lowest. This does not include any policy-based decisions such as HMIS, HMIS Expansion, SSO CE, SSO CE DV, DV RRH Expansion, SSO CE DV Expansion, PH Bonus projects or 1st year renewals.
 - The Permanent Housing BONUS funds – Application Scoring chart shows the 6 applications received for permanent housing BONUS funds, the reviewer scores,

total, average, and rank. It also includes the final approved amount for the 5 projects selected.

- The DV RRH BONUS funds – Application Scoring chart shows the 4 applicants that applied for DV BONUS funds, the reviewer scores, total, average, and rank. It also includes the final approved amount of those 4 applicants selected.
- The Projects Placed on Scoring Tool Based on Policy chart includes the projects that are not scored in the same way as renewal projects. These projects are placed based on CoC Policy outlined in the CoC Project Scoring Tool Explanation document reviewed and approved annually by the CoC Board following grantee and membership feedback. This includes 1st time renewals (projects that started or have signed contracts but have not yet submitted their 1st APR), transition grants (projects that voluntarily relinquished their grants and created new permanent housing programs), New Projects (written with voluntarily relinquished funds), the HMIS grant, the SSO coordinated entry grants, PH BONUS projects, and DV BONUS projects.
- The Non-Competition chart includes YHDP renewal and replacement projects.

WI Balance of State CoC: Project Scoring Tool 2023

CoC Project Scoring Tool – Purpose:

The CoC Competition requires the CoC to evaluate and rank projects. The CoC is required to use objective, performance-based scoring criteria and selection priorities to determine the extent to which each project addresses HUD’s policy priorities. According to HUD, “CoCs should reallocate funds to new projects whenever reallocation would improve outcomes and reduce homelessness and consider how much each project spends to serve and house an individual/family as compared to other projects serving similar populations.”

The CoC Project Scoring Tool lays out the criteria and points possible. Each project receives a total score. Those scores are placed on one Tier, with those projects having the most points going on top and those with the least number of points going on the bottom. The top scoring projects are those that are ending homelessness, have high performance indicators, and address HUD’s policy priorities.

Clarification about Tier 1 and Tier 2:

The separation of the one Tier into two Tiers occurs when HUD provides the limit, or the floor. This represents the total amount of funding that can be on Tier 1 with the rest going on Tier 2. That limit has been 94% of our CoC’s annual renewal demand (ARD). The ARD is the total amount of funds it would take to fund all the current projects again. With 94% as a limit on Tier 1, that means 6% of the ARD must be on Tier 2. Even if all projects scored 90% on the CoC Project Scoring Tool, 6% of the funds will still be on Tier 2. If our ARD is \$10 million, then \$600,000 of project money must be on Tier 2.

CoC Project Scoring Tool – Process:

All CoC-funded housing projects will be ranked using the WI Balance of State CoC Project Scoring Tool. The scoring criteria is based on performance, both operations and project level. The information and data used to complete the evaluation tool includes: CoC project annual performance report (APR) submitted in SAGE, ICA generated HMIS reports, and CoC project applications. The maximum possible number of points a project can earn is 192 points.

SECTION 1

Final Board Policy Decisions

- (1) HMIS grant will be placed on Tier 1, at the bottom of the scorable projects.
- (2) SSO-CE and SSO-CE DV grant will be placed on Tier 1, after the HMIS grant.
- (3) New projects created with reallocated relinquished funds and first time renewals will be placed after the renewable new projects on Tier 1.
- (4) BONUS projects that include the HUD priorities (i.e. partnership with health care, other housing funds) that are identified in the NOFO will be placed at the top of Tier 2. These HUD priorities lead to bonus points or additional scoring advantage for the CoC. BONUS projects that do not include the HUD priorities will be placed at the bottom of Tier 2.

- (5) To be used in scoring, the APR submission must be accepted by HUD in SAGE. If there is an issue, confirmed by the HUD Milwaukee Field Office, the agency must notify the CoC Director. Limited, case-by-case, exceptions may be made.
- (6) Any renewable (non-new) project will be scored using the CoC Project Scoring Tool. All projects scoring 70% or higher and in good standing with HUD and the Balance of State CoC will automatically be eligible to submit a Project Application. If a project falls below threshold 3 consecutive grant years (i.e. 2021, 2022, 2023), the CoC reserves the right to involuntarily reallocate the entire grant.
- Any renewable (non-new) project falling below 70% must submit a Decision Form to the CoC Director. The Decision Form includes: relinquish the grant funds, reallocate the grant funds, or request reconsideration.
 - If a project fell under threshold during the FY2022 CoC Competition, the project must request an Exemption. This must be done in writing and outlining the steps taken to resolve the issues identified in the FY2022 CoC Competition and request for reconsideration process.
 - If the project is in good standing with HUD, the Balance of State CoC, and making improvements in coordination with the Board and/or BOS Staff recommendations, the project will be allowed to submit a Project Application.
 - If the project is not in good standing with HUD, or the Balance of State CoC, or has not made the improvements recommend by the Board or BOS Staff, the project will be required to complete the Decision Form.
- (7) If an agency spent less than 75% of their grant (1st year grant exempt), the agency must submit an explanation and plan to address or make changes to prevent it from happening again. If the agency has spent less than 75% of their grant after 2 years, the amount unspent will be involuntarily reallocated.
- (8) If any agency's unit utilization is less than 80%, the agency must submit an explanation and plan to address or make changes to prevent it from happening again. If an agency has a unit utilization less than 80% after 2 grant years, the funding will be involuntarily reallocated, and budget adjusted.

SECTION 2

Draft Timeline

If the NOFO is released and the competition begins in July and is due mid-October, then the a similar timeline will be followed. A final timeline will be posted on the website and sent out in email at the beginning of the competition.

July 1	Competition begins
August 15	Draft Scoring Tool results posted on website
August 31	Deadline for APR submissions in SAGE for use in scoring
September 1	Threshold determination and notice to projects
September 15	Projects under threshold decision deadline
September 16	2 nd Draft Scoring Tool results posted on website

September 30	Bonus and new project application deadline
October 1	Final Scoring Tool results posted on website
October 8	Deadline to appeal scoring tool results, request permission to reallocate

Point Totals by Section

Section	Total Points Possible	Percentage of the Total
Section 3 – Timely Submission	8	4.2%
Section 4 – Program Performance Operations	50	26.0%
Section 5 – Program Performance Measures	32	16.7%
Section 6 – System Performance Measures	40	20.8%
Section 7 – Population	32	16.7%
Section 8 – Coordinated Entry	30	15.6%
TOTAL	192	100%

Points by Criteria

Section	Total Points Possible	Percentage of the Total	HUD requirement
Objective Criteria -eloccs (5), unit utilization (5), use of funds (5) -perf: data complete (5), housing first (25) -pop: chronicity (8), disability (8), no income (8), unsheltered (8)	77	40.1%	At least 33%
System Performance -housing stability, exit & retention (10) -increase income & non-earned (16) -reoccurrence (10) -LOTH by project type (20) -Exits to permanent housing, housing first (5)	61	31.8%	At least 20%
Other -action plans (8), non-cash benefits (8), health insurance (8), coordinated entry (30)	54	28.1%	none
TOTAL	192	100%	

SECTION 3 – Timely Submission (8 points possible)

Criteria	0 points	-2 points
HUD APR submitted on time in SAGE Submission of APR ending in 2022 (2023) if available	On time	Late
Turned in Board requested information for the purposes of the Collaborative Application on time & complete	*	Late Incomplete
Turned in Project Application for review on time	On time	Late

Criteria	8 pts	6 pts	4 pts	2 points	1 point	0 points
Action Plan Progress	Coalition set goals, fully met goals, and created new goals	Coalition set goals, fully met some goal(s) and made progress on other goal(s), and created new goal(s) or expanded clearly on original unmet goals	Coalition set goals, did not meet any goal(s) but provided explanation as to why, is continuing to work on these, and has set other goal(s) and/or expanded on the unmet ones	Coalition set goals, did not meet any goal(s), provided minimal explanation, and does not plan to continue addressing these goal(s), has set a new goal(s)	Coalition set goals, did not meet any goal(s), did not provide any explanation, or identify a reason, has set new goal(s)	Coalition did not set goals and/or has not set new goal(s)

As stated on page 1, once the NOFA drops and competition officially begins, a final timeline will be posted to the website and sent out in email. A set deadline will be included for any project that wishes to submit a new APR in SAGE. The most recently submitted APR in SAGE and accepted by HUD will be used for scoring purposes.

Action Plan Progress – determined by scores received for Action Plan 3 (was due 5/22) and 4 (was due 11/22), averaged together.

SECTION 4 – Program Performance Operations (50 points possible)

	Criteria	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point
1	Effective Use of Federal Funds	Spent 95 - 100% of grant	Spent 90 – 94.9% of grant	Spent 85 – 89.0% of grant	80-84.9%	N/A
2	Unit Utilization – annual average	96-100%	90-95%	80-89%	75-79%	N/A
3	Data Completeness: Don't Know, Missing, Refused	0% - 1.0%	1.1% - 2%	2.1% - 3%	3.1% - 4%	Greater than 4.1%
4	eLOCCS Drawdown Rates	Once per quarter	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Exceptions:

- New and first year renewals shall be exempt from scoring in the category of “Effective Use of Federal Funds” and “Unit Utilization” and will receive full points for each of those criteria.
- If an agency cannot access eLOCCS due to contractual issues with HUD, the agency is responsible to provide evidence of this situation to the Balance of State. If sufficient proof is provided, the agency will be exempt from the category of “eLOCCS Drawdown Rates” and receive full points for eLOCCS Drawdown Rates criteria.

	Criteria	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point
5	Housing First: Non-Homeless Situations	95-100% of exits were non-homeless destinations	90-94% of exits were non-homeless destinations	80-89% of exits were non-homeless destinations	70-79% of exits were non-homeless destinations	69% or less of exits were non-homeless destinations
6	Housing First: Reason for Exit	95-100% of the reasons for exit met criteria	90-94% of the reasons for exit met criteria	80-89% of the reasons for exit met criteria	70-79% of the reasons for exit met criteria	69% or less of the reasons for exit met criteria
7	Housing First Monitoring Assessment: Access to Housing	Fully Compliant	Mostly Compliant	Somewhat Compliant	Somewhat non-compliant	Mostly Non-Compliant

8	Housing First Monitoring Assessment: Participant Input	Fully Compliant	Mostly Compliant	Somewhat Compliant	Somewhat non-compliant	Mostly Non-Compliant
9	Housing First Monitoring Assessment: Leasing/Rental Assistance	Fully Compliant	Mostly Compliant	Somewhat Compliant	Somewhat non-compliant	Mostly Non-Compliant
10	Housing First Monitoring Assessment: Services	Fully Compliant	Mostly Compliant	Somewhat Compliant	Somewhat non-compliant	Mostly Non-Compliant

HMIS Report (ran by ICA)

- Housing First is predicated on belief that people should be re-housed when possible and all efforts should be made to prevent the return into homelessness.
 - Exits to homelessness: if a household was exited from a CoC project into a non-homeless situation
 - Reasons for Exit: if a household was exited for reasons other than non-payment of rent, non-compliance with program rules, or disagreement with rules/persons (these are considered not in line with housing first). The criteria would include reasons other than those listed above.

Housing First Assessment – this tool will be used during all desk and in-person monitorings. Points will be awarded based on the results of the project’s most recent monitoring results.

- This 5-point scale will be used for each criterion on the housing first assessment tool:
 - Fully Compliant
 - Mostly Compliant
 - 1-2 minor changes needed
 - wording in documents need to be updated but in practice agency and staff are practicing housing first
 - Somewhat Compliant
 - 1-2 practices may need to be changed
 - documents need to be updated to be housing first
 - more staff training recommended
 - Somewhat Non-compliant
 - Agency has significant changes to be made to documents and practice
 - More agency and staff training required
 - Mostly Non-Compliant

- Rules, documents, and practices are not housing first
- The criteria within the housing first assessment will include the following:
 - Access to housing
 - Projects are low barrier at entry. Households are not denied for access within the housing first guidelines
 - Participant-centered intake process
 - Compliant with equal access policy
 - Participant input
 - Staff are educating participants on housing first and tenants are informed of their full rights and responsibilities as a tenant
 - Agencies and staff are creating formal opportunities for participant input and feedback about the project.
 - Leasing/rental assistance
 - Housing is considered permanent
 - Participant choice in unit selection
 - Full tenant rights, including but not limited to no clauses that would be different than any other tenant; tenants are educated on their lease and rights as a tenant; eviction avoidance
 - Services
 - Participant choice in services
 - Participant-centered planning, case plan development, goals
 - Services continually offered even in if temporary change in housing status (short stay in institution)
 - Services offered up to 6 months beyond exit
 - Effective services are offered, and staff are trained in effective strategies known to increase stability and form trusting relationship (harm reduction, motivational interviewing, trauma-informed approaches, strengths-based)

SECTION 5 – Program Performance Measures (32 points possible)

	PSH Criteria	8 points	6 points	3 points	0 points
1 a	HUD Goal: Increase Earned Income	54% or higher	35 – 53%	20 – 34%	19% or less
2	HUD Goal: Increase Non-employment Income	65% or higher	50 – 64%	35 – 49%	34% or less
3	HUD Goal: Non-Cash Benefits	65% or higher	50 – 64%	35 – 49%	34% or less
4	HUD Goal: Health Insurance	65% or higher	50 – 64%	35 – 49%	34% or less

	TH & RRH Criteria	8 points	6 points	3 points	0 points
1 b	HUD Goal: Increase Earned Income	30% or higher	20 - 29%	10 – 19%	9% or less
2	HUD Goal: Increase Non-employment Income	65% or higher	50 – 64%	35 – 49%	34% or less

3	HUD Goal: Non-Cash Benefits	65% or higher	50 – 64%	35 – 49%	34% or less
4	HUD Goal: Health Insurance	65% or higher	50 – 64%	35 – 49%	34% or less

SECTION 6 – System Performance Measures (40 points possible)

	Criteria	10 pts	8 pts	6 pts	4 pts	0 point
1	Reoccurrence Rate (SPM) <i>This looks at what happens after an exit to a permanent destination.</i>	0 - 5%	5.1 – 10%	10.1 – 15%	15.1% - 20%	20.1% +

EXCEPTION:

For Reoccurrence Rate (SPM): If a project had no exits, the project will receive 3 points. If a project had 1 or 2 participants exit, the project will receive a minimum of 3 points. If a project had 3 or 4 participants exit, the project will receive a minimum of 2 points.

NOTE:

The report parameters will match the number of months required by HUD (ie. 6 mo, 12 mo, 18 mo, 24 mo)

	Project Type Criteria	10 pts	5 pts	0 points
2a	Length of Time Homeless (PSH) #1	55% or more of clients had 90 days or less between project entry and move-in date	45 – 54.9% or more of clients had 90 days or less between project entry and move-in date	Less than 44.9% of clients had 90 days or less between project entry and move-in date
	Length of Time Homeless (PSH) #2	65% of clients or more had a project entry and a move-in date	45-64.9% of clients or more had a project entry and a move-in date	Less than 44.9% of clients had a project entry and a move-in date
2b	Length of Time Homeless (TH) #1	50% or more of clients were in the project for 12 months or less	N/A	Less than 50% of clients were in the project for 12 months or less

	Length of Time Homeless (TH) #2	25% or more of clients were in the project for 12 months or less	N/A	Less than 25% of clients were in the project for 12 months or less
2c	Length of Time Homeless (RRH) #1	55% or more of clients had 90 days or less between project entry and move-in date	45 – 54.9% or more of clients had 90 days or less between project entry and move-in date	Less than 44.9% of clients had 90 days or less between project entry and move-in date
	Length of Time Homeless (RRH) #2	65% of clients or more had a project entry and a move-in date	45-64.9% of clients or more had a project entry and a move-in date	Less than 44.9% of clients had a project entry and a move-in date
3a	Exits to Permanent Housing (PSH: Exits to PH or remaining in PSH)	90% or higher	70 – 89%	69% or less
3b	Exits to Permanent Housing (RRH/TH)	80% or higher	60 – 79%	59% or less

SECTION 7 – Population (32 points possible)

	PSH Criteria	8 points	6 points	4 points	2 points	0 points
1	Chronic Homeless (new)	75% +	50-74%	25-49%	10-24%	9% or less
2	Stayers & leavers with 1 or more disabilities	50% +	35 - 50%	20 - 34%	10 - 19%	9% or less
3	Entries from Place Not Meant for Human Habitation	50% +	35 - 50%	20 - 34%	10 - 19%	9% or less
4	No income at entry	50% +	35 - 50%	20 - 34%	10 - 19%	9% or less

	TH Criteria	8 points	6 points	4 points	2 points	0 points
1	Chronic Homeless (new)	50% +	35 - 50%	20 - 34%	10 - 19%	9% or less
2	Stayers & leavers with 1 or more disabilities	50% +	35 - 50%	20 - 34%	10 - 19%	9% or less
3	Entries from Place Not Meant for Human Habitation	25% +	20-24%	10-19%	1-9%	0%
4	No income at entry	25% +	20-24%	10-19%	1-9%	0%

	RRH Criteria	8 points	6 points	4 points	2 points	0 points
1	Chronic Homeless (new)	25% +	20-24%	10-19%	1-9%	0%
2	Clients with 1 or more disability (new)	25% +	20-24%	10-19%	1-9%	0%
3	Entries from Place Not Meant for Human Habitation	25% +	20-24%	10-19%	1-9%	0%
4	No income at entry	25% +	20-24%	10-19%	1-9%	0%

Exceptions:

- Chronic Homeless (new): A process shall be established by which a project can demonstrate that at the time of a project opening, there were no chronic homeless persons on the coordinated entry list. If so, the project would be exempt and receive full points.

SECTION 8 - Coordinated Entry (30 points possible)

Criteria	10 points	8 points	6 points	0 points
Findings issued at most recent coordinated entry monitoring	None	Yes, but the findings were resolved within 30 days	Yes, findings were resolved within 31 – 60 days	Yes, findings were resolved 61+ days
Timely coordinated entry follow-up	95% of agency follow-ups are completed (not expired)	90-94% of agency follow-ups are completed (not expired)	80-89% of agency follow-ups are completed (not expired)	79% or less of agency follow-ups are completed (not expired)
Coordinated entry referrals accurately completed	95% of agency referral data is complete and accurate	90-94% of agency referral data is complete and accurate	80-89% of agency referral data is complete and accurate	79% of agency referral data is complete and accurate

Notes:

- Coordinated entry follow-ups are required within 30 days of a coordinated entry referral. Expired follow-ups are those follow-ups not made within the 30 day time frame.
- Coordinated entry referrals are required to be complete and accurate. A referral is incomplete or inaccurate if the data negatively impacts a client's prioritization. This includes missing length of time homeless, missing disability information, missing DV status, etc.

BONUS:

A project can receive up to 6 points for their coalition's use of coordinated entry.

	BONUS Criteria	6 points	4 points	2 points	0 points
1	A coalition demonstrates that agencies (not required to use CE) are referring people to the prioritization list and/or using the prioritization list to fill project openings.	3 or more agencies or projects	2 agencies or projects	1 agency or projects	0 agencies or projects

Examples of agencies that are not required to use CE include:

- Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA), HPP Prevention projects, HPP Rapid re-housing projects, Mainstream vouchers, and other housing programs that do not use CoC or ESG funds.
- HUD-VASH, WDVA VORP, and other veteran specific programs
- police departments, school districts, public housing authorities, human services, workforce resource, hospitals, other systems of care
- emergency shelters or motel voucher programs that do not receive ESG funds or are not otherwise required to use coordinated entry

SECTION 9 – Point-in-Time (penalty points only)

Criteria	Subtract
Non-Participation by COC Funded agency in overnight Street Count during the January PIT – penalty applies to the agency only.	10 points
Late submission of Final Deadline for January PIT data – this will be applied to the entire local continua.	10 points
Non-Participation by COC Funded agency in overnight Street Count during the July PIT – penalty applies to the agency only.	10 points
Late submission of Final Deadline for July PIT data – this will be applied to the entire local continua.	10 points

SECTION 10 - Tiebreaker

Once the total number of points are calculated, the number of points earned will be divided by the total possible points for that project type. The resulting percentage will be placed in descending order, highest at top and lowest at bottom. If there is a tie between projects, a tiebreaker score will be used.

The tiebreaker score will be based on cost effectiveness. The total HUD grant award amount will be divided by the number of successful outcomes. Successful outcome for all projects (other than PSH) is exiting to permanent housing. Successful outcome for PSH includes exits to permanent housing and remaining in permanent housing.

Example

A non-PSH project gets \$100,000 grant. 25 households successfully went to permanent housing. The cost per successful outcome is: \$4,000.

A PSH project gets \$100,000 grant. 5 households successfully went to permanent housing. 4 households remain in permanent housing. The cost per successful outcome is: \$11,111.

BONUS FUNDS

New Project Application Rubric (FY23 CoC Competition)

Total Points Received:	
Total Points Possible: RRH (310) expansion (330) PSH (330) expansion (350) JT TH/RRH (310)	
Percentage of the Total:	
Reviewer #:	

Organization Name	
New Project Name	
Grant Amount Requesting	
Project Type (RRH, PSH, TH/RRH, Exp-RRH, Exp-PSH)	
If Expansion, name of the Renewal Grant:	

Form Instructions

- Fill out each section of the scoring making notes as needed.
- The total points possible is the maximum amount for each parameter. Scorer can award anywhere from zero to the maximum amount based on the how the applicant met the requirements as described.
- Do not forget to sub-total each section.
- At the end of the form, there is a place to enter each sub-total to then calculate the total.

Points should be awarded based on:

- quality and substance of each answer,
- sufficiently addressing all parts of the question,
- providing detail, and
- demonstrating understanding of requirements, priorities, and purpose.

***If an applicant marked “no” on a required question, the application will be denied.**

Information in red is a guide as to what a review will be looking for in the responses.

Scoring

A. Experience of Applicant, Sub-recipient(s), and other Partners

Parameter	Total Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
<p>Experience of applicant & potential sub-recipients in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing activities proposed in application, given funding and time limitations.</p> <p>*This question is about the agency, not the project itself. Responses should include examples of federal funding the agency receives & other activities related to homelessness or case management.</p>	10		
<p>Explanation as to why the applicant is an appropriate entity to receive funding for this project type.</p>	5		
<p>Concrete examples of how the agency has worked with and addressed the target population(s) identified housing and supportive needs.</p> <p>*This response must include specific examples demonstrating the agency's experience.</p>	5		
<p>Concrete examples of how the agency has developed and implemented relevant program systems, services and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation</p> <p>*This response must include specific examples demonstrating the agency's experience.</p>	5		
<p>Experience in leveraging other Federal, state, local and private sector funds.</p> <p>*This response should include the agency's ability to leverage other resources, demonstrate a variety of sources with enough match (in kind or cash).</p>	5		
<p>Concrete examples of how the agency manages basic organization operations (not financial)</p> <p>*This response must include specific examples demonstrating the agency's experience.</p>	5		
<p>Description of financial management structure, how the system is operated in accordance with accepted accounting principles, and can meet the requirements of federal funds.</p> <p>*This response is specifically looking at the financial management and operation of the organization. The response must include the name of or description of the accounting system the agency uses.</p>	10		
<p>Explanation of any areas of concern – monitoring, OIG audit findings, past experience or performance with other grants. Note: this is <u>not</u> limited to just CoC funding.</p> <p>*Ideally, there would be none. If there are, has the applicant sufficiently explained how they have worked to correct any concerns.</p>	5		
<p>Experience in providing housing and services to marginalized populations experiencing homelessness, including but not limited to BIPOC and/or LGBTQ+.</p>	10		

*This response should include the agency’s past experience providing housing and/or services specifically to marginalized populations people experiencing homelessness. This does not have to be limited to BIPOC or LGBTQ+, but it should be clear what population the applicant is writing about.			
Subtotal	60		

**B. Expansion Project only
(if applicant is not applying for expansion, skip and go to Section C. Project Description)**

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Explain why this application is an effective and efficient use of funds in an area with a data proven need. Include how this expansion of a current grant will further the goal of ending homelessness. *The response must address (1) effectiveness, (2) efficiency, (3) data proven need, and (4) how the expansion will further the goal of ending homelessness.	20		
Subtotal	20		

C. Project Description

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Description of proposed project that included: (1) a clear picture of the target population to be served, (2) plan for addressing the identified housing & support service needs, (3) the anticipated project outcome(s), (4) coordination with other organizations, & (5) how will the CoC Program funding be used? *The response must specifically include a detailed description of the project (new component) and address (1) – (5).	15		
Project milestone & days from grant agreement execution. Are the days from execution “reasonable”? #1-3 should be within 60-90 days; #4 should be no longer than 6 months, ideally 120 days.	10		
Compliance with coordinated entry and program standards. *Must answer “yes” to question 3c and 4. Compliance with housing first. *must check box in question 6, must check “yes” in 6b, and all the boxes in 6c and 6d.	*Required		
Description of understanding and knowledge of housing first with clients at entry and while enrolled. *The answer to 6a should talk about no barriers at entry & re-housing if evicted while in the program. It should be clear that there is a difference between an	15		

eviction and project termination. The project should be assisting with the mediation of landlord issues to reduce the potential for an eviction.			
Describe how the applicant will address issues around mental health, addiction, resistance to services, lease violations, and other things that could jeopardize a participant’s housing. <i>*This response should talk about the different techniques used by case managers, knowledge of community resources, and an emphasis on working with the participant to address these issues.</i>	15		
Describe how the applicant will cultivate landlord relationships, will help participants find housing, and will ensure participants can access available housing options in the coalition. <i>*This response should address all 3 elements. There should be a realistic understanding that finding housing is a challenge and what steps will the agency take to address that challenge.</i>	20		
Subtotal	75		

D. Supportive Services for Participants

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Description as to how the project applicant will help participants obtain permanent housing <u>and</u> how the project applicant will provide the necessary services and support to help participants remain in permanent housing once assistance ends. Must include all 6 components: (1) needs of the target population, (2) plan that addresses the types of assistance that will provided by the applicant (or partners) to ensure participants move into appropriate permanent housing and remain in/move to other permanent housing once assistance is no longer needed, (3) how the applicant will determine the right type of housing that fits the needs, (4) how the applicant will work with landlords to address possible issues and challenges, (5) describe the type of assistance and support you will provide to program participants to overcome challenges to permanent housing, and (6) how the applicant will work with program participants to set goals toward successful retention of permanent housing. <i>*The response must include detailed response to (1) – (6) as it relates to obtaining permanent housing and remain in permanent housing after assistance ends.</i>	25		
Describe the specific plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs for which program participants may be eligible. The description must include: (1) How the project will assist participants with obtaining and increasing employment income that will lead to successful exits	20		

<p>from homelessness (e.g. local employment programs, job training opportunities, educational opportunities); (2) What types of mainstream services the project will assist participants with obtaining to increase non-employment income (e.g. SSI; SSDI; food stamps; Veteran benefits); (3) What types of social services the project will provide access and help to participants to obtain (e.g. childcare, food assistance, TANF, early childhood education); and (4) How the project will coordinate with other partners and assist participants access healthcare benefits and resources (e.g. Medicaid, Medicare, healthcare for the homeless, Federally qualified health centers).</p> <p>*The response must provide detailed description that includes responses for (1) – (4).</p>			
<p>Will the project make available regular or as requested transportation assistance to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs? <i>Yes = 5 pts. No = 0 pts.</i></p>	5		
<p>Will the project provide at least annual follow-ups with participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed? <i>Yes = 5 pts. No = 0 pts.</i></p>	5		
<p>Will project participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the applicant or partner agency (through a formal or informal relationship)? <i>Yes = 5 pts. No = 0 pts.</i></p>	5		
Subtotal	60		

E. Funding – Budget & Match

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
<p>Budget line items are completed, totals add up, and provides a clear picture of what the project is going to use CoC funds for.</p> <p>* Must use 2022 FMR. Budget narratives must include quantity and description where indicated. Number of units (leasing or rental assistance) should match the number of units indicated in Section E: Housing Type and Location.</p>	15		
<p>Description of match (in kind and/or cash), including type of commitment and source and this reflects the information provided in the required match letter (attachment)</p> <p>*This chart should be complete with source, contributor, value, and date. These must match the letters of commitments. The total amount of match must be 25% of entire grant amount (including admin, minus any leasing costs).</p>	5		
Subtotal	20		

F. Demonstration of Organization Fiscal Capacity

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Overall assessment given length agency existed, length of time providing housing services, level of turnover in management, and agency's total budget in terms of capacity to administer a federal CoC grant.	20		
Description of experience administering other federal dollars. This is not limited to homeless funding. <i>(if none – must receive 0 points)</i>	10		
Description of experience administering state dollars. This is not limited to homeless funding. <i>(if none – must receive 0 points)</i>	5		
Overall adherence to fiscal requirements such as segregating funds and financial audits	*required		
Subtotal	35		

H. Appendix 1 - RRH

If applying for Rapid Re-housing only. If applicant is not applying for RRH, skip and go to next section regarding PSH.

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
<p>Description of the difference between the ESG & CoC RRH already in operation in the community and the proposed COC funded RRH.</p> <p>Description of how the coalition ensures that the right people are enrolled in the right projects that meet their ends? This should include population, priorities, eligibility, etc.</p> <p><i>*This response should answer each of the questions.</i></p>	20 RRH		
<p>Describe the exit strategy that the project will incorporate to ensure project participants are prepared to move on from the project and able to maintain permanent housing.</p> <p><i>*This response should include the exit strategy and address any potential barriers to retaining permanent housing after the project ends.</i></p>	20 RRH		
Subtotal	40 RRH		

Appendix 1 - PSH

If applying for Permanent Supportive Housing only. If applicant is not applying for PSH, skip and go to next section.

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes

Description of outreach methods specific to ensure all eligible chronic homeless persons are identified for the project. *This should not be a “wait and see” approach.	20 PSH		
Description of collaboration with medical providers (those licensed to diagnose and treat) to ensure timely documentation of disability verifications for at least one adult in each household. *Description should include what has already been done as well as the detailed plan of who is going to what moving forward.	20 PSH		
Description of effective exit strategy to help program participants move on from the project when they no longer want or need the level of intensive case management that PSH can provide. *This can include transition in place, section 8, other subsidized assistance but description should be detailed in the agency’s relationship with other providers.	20 PSH		
Subtotal	60 PSH		

Appendix 1 – Joint TH/RRH

If applying for Joint TH/RRH only. If applicant is not applying for Joint TH/RRH, skip and go to next section.

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Describe how TH and RRH assistance will be provided. Within the description, include how the agency will provide both components (including the units supported by the TH component and the tenant-based rental assistance and services provided through the RRH component) to all participants. *This response should clearly describe the whole project and the role that each component (TH and RRH) will play in the project & how the agency will provide both to all participants.	20 JT		
Describe the exit strategy that the project will incorporate to ensure project participants are prepared to move on from the project and able to maintain permanent housing. *This response should include the exit strategy and address any potential barriers to retaining permanent housing after the project ends.	20 JT		
Subtotal	40 JT		

Appendix 1 – All Project Types

This must be filled out for both project types.

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Using data from the PIT <u>and</u> coordinated entry <u>and</u> any other data source, describe the need that this project will meet in the community. If additional data sources are used, please identify and attach to this application. This can include Osnum or another comparable database. *This description should draw a connection from the project description, units and beds requested, services provided, and target population identified and supported specifically with data. *Must include both PIT data and CE data and any other data source necessary to describe the need the project will meet.	20		
Subtotal	20		

I. Appendix 2 – BONUS Funds

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Leveraging Housing Resources <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If RRH, must demonstrate that these housing units (not funded by CoC or ESG) will service at least 25% of the program participants anticipated to be served by the project. If PSH, must demonstrate that these housing units (not funded by CoC or ESG) will provide at least 25% of the units included in the project. Both projects types require letters of commitment, contracts or other formal written documents demonstrating the number of subsidies or units being provided to support the project. This must be while the program participants are enrolled in the project (not as a move-up initiative).	Up to 50		
Leveraging Healthcare Resources Either RRH or PSH projects that utilizes health care resources to help people experiencing homelessness. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the case of substance abuse treatment or recovery provider, it will provide access to treatment or recovery services for all program 	Up to 50		

<p>participants who qualify and chose those services. Or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An amount that is equivalent to 25% of the funding being requested for the project will be covered by the healthcare organization. <p>Both projects types require letters of commitment from a health care organization and indicates the value of assistance being provided.</p>			
Subtotal	Up to 100		

J. Required Attachments

Parameter	Attached?	Notes
Most recent fiscal year agency audit including management letter		
Letter of support for the specific project being proposed in this application from at least 2 different agencies within the local coalition If the project is intended to serve only youth (18-24) in the YHDP coalitions, then there must be a local coalition YAB letter of support as well. *Letters must be dated no earlier than 7/1/2023		
Letters of match (in-kind and/or cash) totally at least 25% of request (minus leasing dollars) *Letters must be dated no earlier than 8/1/2023		
Explanation and evidence from current coordinated entry prioritization lists as to what the need in the community is and how this proposed project will meet that need. *This must include a description and evidence of: (1) current coordinated entry prioritization list, (2) explanation of the need using the information on the prioritization list, and (3) how the project will meet the need explained in #2.		
Specific and detailed timeline and explanation as to how the project will be prepared to start expending funds and enrolling & housing clients on Day 1. This must include both a timeline of events and explanation to ensure that the project will be ready to enroll and house clients following grant execution.		

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

Total Points Possible

Rapid Rehousing (RRH) or RRH Expansion

Section Subtotal	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Experience of applicant, sub-recipient, and other partners	60		
Expansion (only)	20		
Project description	75		
Supportive services for participants	60		
Funding: Budget & Match	20		
Demonstration of organization fiscal capacity	35		
Appendix I RRH	40		
Appendix I All Projects	20		
TOTAL (No Expansion)	310		
TOTAL (Expansion)	330		
Appendix 2 BONUS – Leverage Housing Resources	<i>Up to 50</i>		
Appendix 2 BONUS – Leverage Healthcare Resources	<i>Up to 50</i>		
TOTAL (BONUS score)	<i>Max 100</i>		
FINAL Score (add Total + Bonus)	<i>X</i>		

Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) or PSH Expansion

Section Subtotal	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Experience of applicant, sub-recipient, and other partners	60		
Expansion (only)	20		
Project description	75		
Supportive services for participants	60		
Funding: Budget & Match	20		
Demonstration of organization fiscal capacity	35		
Appendix I PSH	60		
Appendix I All Projects	20		
TOTAL (No Expansion)	330		
TOTAL (Expansion)	350		
Appendix 2 BONUS – Leverage Housing Resources	<i>Up to 50</i>		
Appendix 2 BONUS – Leverage Healthcare Resources	<i>Up to 50</i>		
TOTAL (BONUS score)	<i>Max 100</i>		
FINAL Score (add Total + Bonus)	<i>X</i>		

Joint Transitional Housing/Rapid Re-housing (JT TH/RRH)

Section Subtotal	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Experience of applicant, sub-recipient, and other partners	60		
Expansion (only)	20		
Project description	75		
Supportive services for participants	60		

Funding: Budget & Match	20		
Demonstration of organization fiscal capacity	35		
Appendix I JT	40		
Appendix I All Projects	20		
TOTAL (No Expansion)	310		
Appendix 2 BONUS – Leverage Housing Resources	<i>Up to 50</i>		
Appendix 2 BONUS – Leverage Healthcare Resources	<i>Up to 50</i>		
TOTAL (BONUS score)	<i>Max 100</i>		
FINAL Score (add Total + Bonus)	<i>X</i>		

DV BONUS RRH Expansion Subrecipient
New Project Application Rubric (FY23 CoC Competition)

Total Points Received:	
Total Points Possible: RRH (430) expansion (450)	
Percentage of the Total:	
Reviewer #:	

Organization Name	
New Project Name or Name of the Project to be Expanded	
Grant Amount Requesting	

Form Instructions

- Fill out each section of the scoring making notes as needed.
- The total points possible is the maximum amount for each parameter. Scorer can award anywhere from zero to the maximum amount based on the how the applicant met the requirements as described.
- Do not forget to sub-total each section.
- At the end of the form, there is a place to enter each sub-total to then calculate the total.

Points should be awarded based on:

- quality and substance of each answer,
- sufficiently addressing all parts of the question,
- providing detail, and
- demonstrating understanding of requirements, priorities, and purpose.

***If an applicant marked “no” on a required question, the application will be denied.**

Information in red is a guide as to what a review will be looking for in the responses.

Scoring

A. Experience of Applicant, Sub-recipient(s), and other Partners

Parameter	Total Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Experience of applicant & potential sub-recipients in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing activities proposed in application, given funding and time limitations.	10		

*This question is about the agency, not the project itself. Responses should include examples of federal funding the agency receives & other activities related to homelessness or case management.			
Explanation as to why the applicant is an appropriate entity to receive funding for this project type.	5		
Concrete examples of how the agency has worked with and addressed the target population(s) identified housing and supportive needs. *This response must include specific examples demonstrating the agency's experience.	5		
Concrete examples of how the agency has developed and implemented relevant program systems, services and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation *This response must include specific examples demonstrating the agency's experience.	5		
Experience in leveraging other Federal, state, local and private sector funds. *This response should include the agency's ability to leverage other resources, demonstrate a variety of sources with enough match (in kind or cash).	5		
Concrete examples of how the agency manages basic organization operations (not financial) *This response must include specific examples demonstrating the agency's experience.	5		
Description of financial management structure, how the system is operated in accordance with accepted accounting principles, and can meet the requirements of federal funds. *This response is specifically looking at the financial management and operation of the organization. The response must include the name of or description of the accounting system the agency uses.	10		
Explanation of any areas of concern – monitoring, OIG audit findings, past experience or performance with other grants. Note: this is <u>not</u> limited to just CoC funding. *Ideally, there would be none. If there are, has the applicant sufficiently explained how they have worked to correct any concerns.	5		
Experience in providing housing and services to marginalized populations experiencing homelessness, including but not limited to BIPOC and/or LGBTQ+. *This response should include the agency's past experience providing housing and/or services specifically to marginalized populations people experiencing homelessness. This does not have to be limited to BIPOC or LGBTQ+, but it should be clear what population the applicant is writing about.	10		
Subtotal	60		

B. Expansion Project only

(if applicant is not applying for expansion, skip and go to Section C. Project Description)

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Explain why this application is an effective and efficient use of funds in an area with a data proven need. Include how this expansion of a current grant will further the goal of ending homelessness. *The response must address (1) effectiveness, (2) efficiency, (3) data proven need, and (4) how the expansion will further the goal of ending homelessness.	20		
Subtotal	20		

C. DV Bonus Specific Questions

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Assessing & Calculating Need: there is a response required for all 6 questions in this part. Must have a number in (a), (c), and (e). Must describe how the applicant came up with the numbers for (a) in question (b), for (c) in question (d). And must describe barriers that exist to meeting the needs of survivors. *The response must include a # of people for (a), (c), and (e). The response for (b) and (d) must include an explanation and data sources (e.g. comparable database, other admin data, HMIS, or external data source) used must be identified. In (f), there must be an explanation as to why there is an unmet need (barriers).	10		
Rate of Placement & Retention: there is a response required for all 4 questions in this part. Must have a percentage in (a) and (b). In (c), there must be an explanation as to how the applicant came up with the numbers in (a) and (b), including explanation and identification of the data source(s) used. In (d), the applicant must mark yes or no. *The response must include a % for (a) and (b). There must be an explanation as to how the applicant got the % to both (a) and (b), including what data source(s) were used and how they were used. For (d), the answer should be "yes."	10		
Narrative responses must include and address how the project applicant has done the following: (1) ensure DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing. (2) prioritized survivors (process used); (3) determined which supportive services	20		

<p>survivors needed; (4) connected survivors to supportive services; and (5) moved clients from assisted housing to housing they could sustain – addressing housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.</p> <p>*The response must include a detailed response to #1-5. Responses must be about what the applicant has already done regardless of funding source.</p>			
<p>Describe examples of how the project applicant ensures the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness for each, by: (1) taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality; (2) making determinations & placements into safe housing; (3) keeping info & locations confidential; (4) training staff; and (5) taking security measures for units.</p> <p>*The response must include detailed examples for each #1-5. Responses must be about what the applicant has already done regardless of funding source.</p>	15		
<p>Describe how the agency measures its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors.</p> <p>*The response should include a detailed explanation as to how the agency actually measures its own ability to ensure safety (as described in previous question). How do they know they ensured the safety? This answer should be about the applicant’s experience, regardless of funding source or project type.</p>	10		
<p>Identify the supportive services the project applicant will provide to DV survivors experiencing homelessness while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.</p> <p>*The response should include a list of supportive services that the project will provide, examples of how the agency will provide them, and why these are important.</p>	10		
<p>Describe how the project applicant’s WILL implement in the new project the use of trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of survivors in each by: (1) Prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants’ wishes and stated needs; (2) Establish and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect (e.g. the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials); (3) Provide program participants access to information on trauma (e.g training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma); (4) Emphasize program participants’ strengths</p>	30		

<p>(e.g. strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans includes assessments of program participants strengths and works toward goals and aspirations); (5) Center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity (e.g. training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination language access, improving services to be culturally responsible, accessible, and trauma-informed); (6) Provide a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants (e.g. groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs); and (7) Offer support for survivor parenting (e.g. trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connection to legal services). *The response must include examples and explanation for each, #1-7. It has to be about how the agency will implement these things; not about past experience.</p>			
<p>This question has 2 parts. (a) Describe how the new project will involve survivors with a range of lived expertise. (b) describe how the new project will involve survivors in policy and program development throughout the project's operation. *Each part must have its own response. In (a), the explanation should include a description of the involvement from a variety of types of expertise. In (b), the response must describe steps and actions the new project will take to include survivors in the development, implementation, and evaluation of policies and procedures. It should NOT include a description of how survivors have been included in the past.</p>	15		
<p>Subtotal</p>	120		

D. Project Description

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
<p>Description of proposed project that included: (1) a clear picture of the target population to be served, (2) plan for addressing the identified housing & support service needs, (3) the anticipated project outcome(s), (4) coordination with other organizations, & (5) how will the CoC Program funding be used? *The response must specifically include a detailed description of the project (new component) and address (1) – (5).</p>	15		
<p>Project milestone & days from grant agreement execution. Are the days from execution “reasonable”? #1-3 should be within 60-90 days; #4 should be no longer than 6 months, ideally 120 days.</p>	10		

Compliance with coordinated entry and program standards. *Must answer "yes" to question 3c and 4. Compliance with housing first. *must check box in question 6, must check "yes" in 6b, and all the boxes in 6c and 6d.	*Required		
Description of understanding and knowledge of housing first with clients at entry and while enrolled. *The answer to 6a should talk about no barriers at entry & re-housing if evicted while in the program. It should be clear that there is a difference between an eviction and project termination. The project should be assisting with the mediation of landlord issues to reduce the potential for an eviction.	15		
Describe how the applicant will address issues around mental health, addiction, resistance to services, lease violations, and other things that could jeopardize a participant's housing. *This response should talk about the different techniques used by case managers, knowledge of community resources, and an emphasis on working with the participant to address these issues.	15		
Describe how the applicant will cultivate landlord relationships, will help participants find housing, and will ensure participants can access available housing options in the coalition. *This response should address all 3 elements. There should be a realistic understanding that finding housing is a challenge and what steps will the agency take to address that challenge.	20		
Subtotal	75		

E. Supportive Services for Participants

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Description as to how the project applicant will help participants obtain permanent housing <u>and</u> how the project applicant will provide the necessary services and support to help participants remain in permanent housing once assistance ends. Must include all 6 components: (1) needs of the target population, (2) plan that addresses the types of assistance that will provided by the applicant (or partners) to ensure participants move into appropriate permanent housing and remain in/move to other permanent housing once assistance is no longer needed, (3) how the applicant will determine the right type of housing that fits the needs, (4) how the applicant will work with landlords to address possible issues and challenges, (5) describe the type of assistance and support you will provide to program participants to overcome challenges to permanent housing, and (6) how the applicant will work with program participants to set goals toward successful retention of permanent housing.	25		

*The response must include detailed response to (1) – (6) as it relates to obtaining permanent housing and remain in permanent housing after assistance ends.			
Describe the specific plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs for which program participants may be eligible. The description must include: (1) How the project will assist participants with obtaining and increasing employment income that will lead to successful exits from homelessness (e.g. local employment programs, job training opportunities, educational opportunities); (2) What types of mainstream services the project will assist participants with obtaining to increase non-employment income (e.g. SSI; SSDI; food stamps; Veteran benefits); (3) What types of social services the project will provide access and help to participants to obtain (e.g. childcare, food assistance, TANF, early childhood education); and (4) How the project will coordinate with other partners and assist participants access healthcare benefits and resources (e.g. Medicaid, Medicare, healthcare for the homeless, Federally qualified health centers). *The response must provide detailed description that includes responses for (1) – (4).	20		
Will the project make available regular or as requested transportation assistance to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs? <i>Yes = 5 pts. No = 0 pts.</i>	5		
Will the project provide at least annual follow-ups with participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed? <i>Yes = 5 pts. No = 0 pts.</i>	5		
Will project participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the applicant or partner agency (through a formal or informal relationship)? <i>Yes = 5 pts. No = 0 pts.</i>	5		
Subtotal	60		

F. Funding – Budget & Match

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Budget line items are completed, totals add up, and provides a clear picture of what the project is going to use CoC funds for. * Must use 2022 FMR. Budget narratives must include quantity and description where indicated. Number of units (leasing or rental assistance) should match the number of units indicated in Section E: Housing Type and Location.	15		

Description of match (in kind and/or cash), including type of commitment and source and this reflects the information provided in the required match letter (attachment) <i>*This chart should be complete with source, contributor, value, and date. These must match the letters of commitments. The total amount of match must be 25% of entire grant amount (including admin, minus any leasing costs).</i>	5		
Subtotal	20		

G. Demonstration of Organization Fiscal Capacity

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Overall assessment given length agency existed, length of time providing housing services, level of turnover in management, and agency's total budget in terms of capacity to administer a federal CoC grant.	20		
Description of experience administering other federal dollars. This is not limited to homeless funding. <i>(if none – must receive 0 points)</i>	10		
Description of experience administering state dollars. This is not limited to homeless funding. <i>(if none – must receive 0 points)</i>	5		
Overall adherence to fiscal requirements such as segregating funds and financial audits	*required		
Subtotal	35		

H. Appendix 1

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Description of the difference between the ESG & CoC RRH already in operation in the community and the proposed COC funded RRH. Description of how the coalition ensures that the right people are enrolled in the right projects that meet their ends? This should include population, priorities, eligibility, etc. <i>*This response should answer each of the questions.</i>	20		
Describe the exit strategy that the project will incorporate to ensure project participants are prepared to move on from the project and able to maintain permanent housing.	20		

*This response should include the exit strategy and address any potential barriers to retaining permanent housing after the project ends.			
Using data from the PIT <u>and</u> coordinated entry <u>and</u> any other data source, describe the need that this project will meet in the community. If additional data sources are used, please identify and attach to this application. This can include Osnum or another comparable database. *This description should draw a connection from the project description, units and beds requested, services provided, and target population identified and supported specifically with data. *Must include both PIT data and CE data and any other data source necessary to describe the need the project will meet.	20		
Subtotal	60		

I. Required Attachments

Parameter	Attached?	Notes
Most recent fiscal year agency audit including management letter		
Letter of support for the specific project being proposed in this application from at least 2 different agencies within the local coalition If the project is intended to serve only youth (18-24) in the YHDP coalitions, then there must be a local coalition YAB letter of support as well. *Letters must be dated no earlier than 7/1/2023		
Letters of match (in-kind and/or cash) totally at least 25% of request (minus leasing dollars) *Letters must be dated no earlier than 8/1/2023		
Explanation and evidence from current coordinated entry prioritization lists as to what the need in the community is and how this proposed project will meet that need. *This must include a description and evidence of: (1) current coordinated entry prioritization list, (2) explanation of the need using the information on the prioritization list, and (3) how the project will meet the need explained in #2.		
Specific and detailed timeline and explanation as to how the project will be prepared to start expending funds and enrolling & housing clients on Day 1. This must include both a timeline of events and explanation to ensure that the project will be ready to enroll and house clients following grant execution.		

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

Total Points Possible

Rapid Rehousing (RRH) or RRH Expansion

Section Subtotal	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Experience of applicant, sub-recipient, and other partners	60		
Expansion (only)	20		
DV Bonus Specific Questions	120		
Project description	75		
Supportive services for participants	60		
Funding: Budget & Match	20		
Demonstration of organization fiscal capacity	35		
Appendix 1	60		
TOTAL (No Expansion)	430		
TOTAL (Expansion)	450		

DV BONUS SOLO Applicant
New Project Application Rubric (FY23 CoC Competition)

Total Points Received:	
Total Points Possible: RRH (430) Joint TH/RRH (430)	
Percentage of the Total:	
Reviewer #:	

Organization Name	
New Project Name	
Grant Amount Requesting	
Project Type (RRH or Jt TH/RRH)	

Form Instructions

- Fill out each section of the scoring making notes as needed.
- The total points possible is the maximum amount for each parameter. Scorer can award anywhere from zero to the maximum amount based on the how the applicant met the requirements as described.
- Do not forget to sub-total each section.
- At the end of the form, there is a place to enter each sub-total to then calculate the total.

Points should be awarded based on:

- quality and substance of each answer,
- sufficiently addressing all parts of the question,
- providing detail, and
- demonstrating understanding of requirements, priorities, and purpose.

***If an applicant marked “no” on a required question, the application will be denied.**

Information in red is a guide as to what a review will be looking for in the responses.

Scoring

A. Experience of Applicant, Sub-recipient(s), and other Partners

Parameter	Total Points Possible	Points Received	Notes

<p>Experience of applicant & potential sub-recipients in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing activities proposed in application, given funding and time limitations.</p> <p>*This question is about the agency, not the project itself. Responses should include examples of federal funding the agency receives & other activities related to homelessness or case management.</p>	10		
<p>Explanation as to why the applicant is an appropriate entity to receive funding for this project type.</p>	5		
<p>Concrete examples of how the agency has worked with and addressed the target population(s) identified housing and supportive needs.</p> <p>*This response must include specific examples demonstrating the agency's experience.</p>	5		
<p>Concrete examples of how the agency has developed and implemented relevant program systems, services and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation</p> <p>*This response must include specific examples demonstrating the agency's experience.</p>	5		
<p>Experience in leveraging other Federal, state, local and private sector funds.</p> <p>*This response should include the agency's ability to leverage other resources, demonstrate a variety of sources with enough match (inkind or cash).</p>	5		
<p>Concrete examples of how the agency manages basic organization operations (not financial)</p> <p>*This response must include specific examples demonstrating the agency's experience.</p>	5		
<p>Description of financial management structure, how the system is operated in accordance with accepted accounting principles, and can meet the requirements of federal funds.</p> <p>*This response is specifically looking at the financial management and operation of the organization. The response must include the name of or description of the accounting system the agency uses.</p>	10		
<p>Explanation of any areas of concern – monitoring, OIG audit findings, past experience or performance with other grants. Note: this is <u>not</u> limited to just CoC funding.</p> <p>*Ideally, there would be none. If there are, has the applicant sufficiently explained how they have worked to correct any concerns.</p>	5		
<p>Experience in providing housing and services to marginalized populations experiencing homelessness, including but not limited to BIPOC and/or LGBTQ+.</p> <p>*This response should include the agency's past experience providing housing and/or services specifically to marginalized populations people experiencing homelessness. This does not have</p>	10		

to be limited to BIPOC or LGBTQ+, but it should be clear what population the applicant is writing about.			
Subtotal	60		

B. DV Bonus Specific Questions

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
<p>Assessing & Calculating Need: there is a response required for all 6 questions in this part. Must have a number in (a), (c), and (e). Must describe how the applicant came up with the numbers for (a) in question (b), for (c) in question (d). And must describe barriers that exist to meeting the needs of survivors.</p> <p>*The response must include a # of people for (a), (c), and (e). The response for (b) and (d) must include an explanation and data sources (e.g. comparable database, other admin data, HMIS, or external data source) used must be identified. In (f), there must be an explanation as to why there is an unmet need (barriers).</p>	10		
<p>Rate of Placement & Retention: there is a response required for all 4 questions in this part. Must have a percentage in (a) and (b). In (c), there must be an explanation as to how the applicant came up with the numbers in (a) and (b), including explanation and identification of the data source(s) used. In (d), the applicant must mark yes or no. *The response must include a % for (a) and (b). There must be an explanation as to how the applicant got the % to both (a) and (b), including what data source(s) were used and how they were used. For (d), the answer should be "yes."</p>	10		
<p>Narrative responses must include and address how the project applicant has done the following: (1) ensure DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing. (2) prioritized survivors (process used); (3) determined which supportive services survivors needed; (4) connected survivors to supportive services; and (5) moved clients from assisted housing to housing they could sustain – addressing housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.</p> <p>*The response must include a detailed response to #1-5. Responses must be about what the applicant has already done regardless of funding source.</p>	20		
<p>Describe examples of how the project applicant ensures the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness for each, by: (1) taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality; (2) making determinations & placements into safe housing; (3) keeping info & locations confidential; (4) training staff; and (5) taking security measures for units.</p>	15		

<p>*The response must include detailed examples for each #1-5. Responses must be about what the applicant has already done regardless of funding source.</p>			
<p>Describe how the agency measures its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors. *The response should include a detailed explanation as to how the agency actually measures its own ability to ensure safety (as described in previous question). How do they know they ensured the safety? This answer should be about the applicant’s experience, regardless of funding source or project type.</p>	10		
<p>Identify the supportive services the project applicant will provide to DV survivors experiencing homelessness while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs. *The response should include a list of supportive services that the project will provide, examples of how the agency will provide them, and why these are important.</p>	10		
<p>Describe how the project applicant’s WILL implement in the new project the use of trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of survivors in each by: (1) Prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants’ wishes and stated needs; (2) Establish and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect (e.g. the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials); (3) Provide program participants access to information on trauma (e.g training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma); (4) Emphasize program participants’ strengths (e.g. strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans includes assessments of program participants strengths and works toward goals and aspirations); (5) Center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity (e.g. training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination language access, improving services to be culturally responsible, accessible, and trauma-informed); (6) Provide a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants (e.g. groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs); and (7) Offer support for survivor parenting (e.g. trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connection to legal services). *The response must include examples and explanation for each, #1-7. It has to be about how the agency will implement these things; not about past experience.</p>	30		
<p>This question has 2 parts. (a) Describe how the new project will involve survivors with a range of lived expertise. (b) describe how the new project will involve survivors in policy and program development throughout the project’s operation.</p>	15		

*Each part must have its own response. In (a), the explanation should include a description of the involvement from a variety of types of expertise. In (b), the response must describe steps and actions the new project will take to include survivors in the development, implementation, and evaluation of policies and procedures. It should NOT include a description of how survivors have been included in the past.			
Subtotal	120		

D. Project Description

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Description as to how the project applicant will help participants obtain permanent housing <u>and</u> how the project applicant will provide the necessary services and support to help participants remain in permanent housing once assistance ends. Must include all 6 components: (1) needs of the target population, (2) plan that addresses the types of assistance that will provided by the applicant (or partners) to ensure participants move into appropriate permanent housing and remain in/move to other permanent housing once assistance is no longer needed, (3) how the applicant will determine the right type of housing that fits the needs, (4) how the applicant will work with landlords to address possible issues and challenges, (5) describe the type of assistance and support you will provide to program participants to overcome challenges to permanent housing, and (6) how the applicant will work with program participants to set goals toward successful retention of permanent housing. *The response must include detailed response to (1) – (6) as it relates to obtaining permanent housing and remain in permanent housing after assistance ends.	15		
Project milestone & days from grant agreement execution. Are the days from execution “reasonable”? #1-3 should be within 60-90 days; #4 should be no longer than 6 months, ideally 120 days.	10		
Compliance with coordinated entry and program standards. *Must answer “yes” to question 3c and 4. Compliance with housing first. *must check box in question 6, must check “yes” in 6b, and all the boxes in 6c and 6d.	*Required		
Description of understanding and knowledge of housing first with clients at entry and while enrolled. *The answer to 6a should talk about no barriers at entry & re-housing if evicted while in the program. It should be clear that there is a difference between an eviction and project termination. The project should be assisting	15		

with the mediation of landlord issues to reduce the potential for an eviction.			
Describe how the applicant will address issues around mental health, addiction, resistance to services, lease violations, and other things that could jeopardize a participant’s housing. <i>*This response should talk about the different techniques used by case managers, knowledge of community resources, and an emphasis on working with the participant to address these issues.</i>	15		
Describe how the applicant will cultivate landlord relationships, will help participants find housing, and will ensure participants can access available housing options in the coalition. <i>*This response should address all 3 elements. There should be a realistic understanding that finding housing is a challenge and what steps will the agency take to address that challenge.</i>	20		
Subtotal	75		

E. Supportive Services for Participants

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Description as to how the project applicant will help participants obtain permanent housing <u>and</u> how the project applicant will provide the necessary services and support to help participants remain in permanent housing once assistance ends. Must include all 6 components: (1) needs of the target population, (2) plan that addresses the types of assistance that will provided by the applicant (or partners) to ensure participants move into appropriate permanent housing and remain in/move to other permanent housing once assistance is no longer needed, (3) how the applicant will determine the right type of housing that fits the needs, (4) how the applicant will work with landlords to address possible issues and challenges, (5) describe the type of assistance and support you will provide to program participants to overcome challenges to permanent housing, and (6) how the applicant will work with program participants to set goals toward successful retention of permanent housing. <i>*The response must include detailed response to (1) – (6) as it relates to obtaining permanent housing and remain in permanent housing after assistance ends.</i>	25		
Describe the specific plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs for which program participants may be eligible. The description must include: (1) How the project will assist participants with obtaining and increasing employment income that will lead to successful exits from homelessness (e.g. local employment programs, job training	20		

<p>opportunities, educational opportunities); (2) What types of mainstream services the project will assist participants with obtaining to increase non-employment income (e.g. SSI; SSDI; food stamps; Veteran benefits); (3) What types of social services the project will provide access and help to participants to obtain (e.g. childcare, food assistance, TANF, early childhood education); and (4) How the project will coordinate with other partners and assist participants access healthcare benefits and resources (e.g. Medicaid, Medicare, healthcare for the homeless, Federally qualified health centers).</p> <p>*The response must provide detailed description that includes responses for (1) – (4).</p>			
<p>Will the project make available regular or as requested transportation assistance to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs? <i>Yes = 5 pts. No = 0 pts.</i></p>	5		
<p>Will the project provide at least annual follow-ups with participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed? <i>Yes = 5 pts. No = 0 pts.</i></p>	5		
<p>Will project participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the applicant or partner agency (through a formal or informal relationship)? <i>Yes = 5 pts. No = 0 pts.</i></p>	5		
Subtotal	60		

F. Funding – Budget & Match

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
<p>Budget line items are completed, totals add up, and provides a clear picture of what the project is going to use CoC funds for.</p> <p>* Must use 2022 FMR. Budget narratives must include quantity and description where indicated. Number of units (leasing or rental assistance) should match the number of units indicated in Section E: Housing Type and Location.</p>	15		
<p>Description of match (in kind and/or cash), including type of commitment and source and this reflects the information provided in the required match letter (attachment)</p> <p>*This chart should be complete with source, contributor, value, and date. These must match the letters of commitments. The total amount of match must be 25% of entire grant amount (including admin, minus any leasing costs).</p>	5		
Subtotal	20		

G. Demonstration of Organization Fiscal Capacity

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Overall assessment given length agency existed, length of time providing housing services, level of turnover in management, and agency's total budget in terms of capacity to administer a federal CoC grant.	20		
Description of experience administering other federal dollars. This is not limited to homeless funding. <i>(if none – must receive 0 points)</i>	10		
Description of experience administering state dollars. This is not limited to homeless funding. <i>(if none – must receive 0 points)</i>	5		
Overall adherence to fiscal requirements such as segregating funds and financial audits	*required		
Subtotal	35		

H. Appendix 1 RRH

If applying for RRH only. If applicant is not applying for RRH, skip and go to next section.

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
<p>Description of the difference between the ESG & CoC RRH already in operation in the community and the proposed COC funded RRH.</p> <p>Description of how the coalition ensures that the right people are enrolled in the right projects that meet their ends? This should include population, priorities, eligibility, etc.</p> <p>*This response should answer each of the questions.</p>	20 RRH		
<p>Describe the exit strategy that the project will incorporate to ensure project participants are prepared to move on from the project and able to maintain permanent housing.</p> <p>*This response should include the exit strategy and address any potential barriers to retaining permanent housing after the project ends.</p>	20 RRH		
<p>Using data from the PIT <u>and</u> coordinated entry <u>and</u> any other data source, describe the need that this project will meet in the community. If additional data sources are used, please identify and attach to this application. This can include Osnum or another comparable database.</p> <p>*This description should draw a connection from the project description, units and beds requested, services provided, and target population identified and supported specifically with data.</p>	20 RRH		

*Must include both PIT data and CE data and any other data source necessary to describe the need the project will meet.			
Subtotal	60 RRH		

Appendix 1 – Joint TH/RRH

If applying for Joint TH/RRH only. If applicant is not applying for Joint TH/RRH, skip and go to next section.

Parameter	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Describe how TH and RRH assistance will be provided. Within the description, include how the agency will provide both components (including the units supported by the TH component and the tenant-based rental assistance and services provided through the RRH component) to all participants. *This response should clearly describe the whole project and the role that each component (TH and RRH) will play in the project & how the agency will provide both to all participants.	20 JT		
Describe the exit strategy that the project will incorporate to ensure project participants are prepared to move on from the project and able to maintain permanent housing. *This response should include the exit strategy and address any potential barriers to retaining permanent housing after the project ends.	20 JT		
Using data from the PIT <u>and</u> coordinated entry <u>and</u> any other data source, describe the need that this project will meet in the community. If additional data sources are used, please identify and attach to this application. This can include Osnum or another comparable database. *This description should draw a connection from the project description, units and beds requested, services provided, and target population identified and supported specifically with data. *Must include both PIT data and CE data and any other data source necessary to describe the need the project will meet.	20 JT		
Subtotal	60 JT		

I. Required Attachments

Parameter	Attached?	Notes
Most recent fiscal year agency audit including management letter		
Letter of support for the specific project being proposed in this application from at least 2 different agencies within the local coalition If the project is intended to serve only youth (18-24) in the YHDP coalitions, then there must be a local coalition YAB letter of support as well. *Letters must be dated no earlier than 7/1/2023		
Letters of match (in-kind and/or cash) totally at least 25% of request (minus leasing dollars) *Letters must be dated no earlier than 8/1/2023		
Explanation and evidence from current coordinated entry prioritization lists as to what the need in the community is and how this proposed project will meet that need. *This must include a description and evidence of: (1) current coordinated entry prioritization list, (2) explanation of the need using the information on the prioritization list, and (3) how the project will meet the need explained in #2.		
Specific and detailed timeline and explanation as to how the project will be prepared to start expending funds and enrolling & housing clients on Day 1. This must include both a timeline of events and explanation to ensure that the project will be ready to enroll and house clients following grant execution.		

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

Total Points Possible

Rapid Rehousing (RRH)

Section Subtotal	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Experience of applicant, sub-recipient, and other partners	60		
DV Bonus Specific Questions	120		
Project description	75		
Supportive services for participants	60		
Funding: Budget & Match	20		
Demonstration of organization fiscal capacity	35		
Appendix 1 RRH	60		
TOTAL	430		

Joint Transitional Housing/Rapid Re-housing (JT TH/RRH)

Section Subtotal	Points Possible	Points Received	Notes
Experience of applicant, sub-recipient, and other partners	60		
DV Bonus Specific Questions	120		
Project description	75		
Supportive services for participants	60		
Funding: Budget & Match	20		
Demonstration of organization fiscal capacity	35		
Appendix 1 JT	60		
TOTAL	430		

This document reflects: data collected through HMIS, SAGE, and project applications along with additional scoring criteria (i.e. action plans, PIT, housing first, and coordinated entry).

RANKING

The Ranking tab is the list of renewal and new projects submitted for the FY2023 COC Competition. All projects must be listed on the Scoring Tool.

The projects are listed from highestest percentage to the lowest. Any tie is managed through cost per successful outcome. The project with the smaller cost will be ranked first.

For the WI Balance of State, the Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) is \$17,775,890. The ARD is determined by HUD and posted on the Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW) - revised 9/5/23.

HUD determines the Tier limits. This year, Tier 1 is 93% the current ARD (minus the amount awarded for YHDP projects). ARD \$17,775,890 minus YHDP projects (3,664,489) = \$14,111,401. 93% = 13,123,603

TIEBREAKER

The Tiebreaker tab is the list of renewal projects and the data from their APR regarding number of leavers, number of leavers exiting to another permanent housing destination, and if a PH program - the number of stayers as well.

For non-PSH, the cost is determined by dividing the number of successful PH exits by number of people exiting.

For PSH, the cost is determined by dividing the number of successful PH exits plus the number of stayers by the number of people exiting plus the number of people staying.

SCORING-POINTS

The Points tab shows each of the scoring areas in which projects can earn points. This tab shows the amount of points and the corresponding percentages.

EVALUATION

The Evaluation tab shows the projects and the points received in each area based on the points listed on the data tab.

For each area that a project did not receive full points there is an inserted comment.

DATA

The Data tab shows the list of projects and the data pulled from the APRs in the key areas.

The data regarding length of time homeless, reoccurrence, chronic homeless and disabilities were gathered from independent HMIS-based reports by ICA.

ADDITIONAL EXPLANATION

This tab further explains the scoring breakdown for the action plan review, housing first, and coordinated entry.

Updated 8/3/2023 by CoC Director (and again 9/6/2023)

Wisconsin Balance of State Continuum of Care Board Scoring Tool (FY2023)

FINAL

Rank	Agency	Program	Type	Project Score (%)	Project Score (pts)	Project Accept or Reject Status	Amount of Funds Requested	Scoring Tiebreaker (if needed)
1	Coulecap, Inc.	Coulecap Housing First Permanent Housing Program	PSH	88.04%	162 /184	Accept	\$ 243,535.00	\$ 11,366.38
2	Walworth County Housing Authority	Hartwell Street Apartments	PSH	84.15%	138 /164	Accept	\$ 70,810.00	\$ 5,057.86
3	Kenosha Human Development Services, Inc.	MyHOME Rapid Rehousing Project	RRH	83.07%	159.5 /192	Accept	\$ 160,043.00	\$ 17,782.56
4	West Central Wisconsin Community Action Agency, Inc.	West CAP Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	81.77%	157 /192	Accept	\$ 169,467.00	\$ 11,819.21
5	Western Dairyland Economic Opportunity Council, Inc.	Western Dairyland PSH 3	PSH	80.99%	155.5 /192	Accept	\$ 200,233.00	\$ 16,361.58
6	Newcap, Inc.	Brown County PSH Individuals	PSH	80.98%	149 /184	Accept	\$ 952,079.00	\$ 13,678.41
7	Kenosha Human Development Services, Inc.	KYF Rapid Rehousing Project	RRH	80.16%	147.5 /184	Accept	\$ 145,983.00	\$ 24,330.50
8	Community Action Coalition for South-Central Wisconsin	Project WISH	PSH	79.89%	147 /184	Accept	\$ 203,312.00	\$ 18,120.64
9	Newcap, Inc.	Brown County Youth RRH Project	RRH	79.17%	152 /192	Accept	\$ 372,370.00	\$ 9,565.18

10	Newcap, Inc.	Brown County PSH Families	PSH	78.57%	143 /182	Accept	\$ 68,077.00	\$ 8,311.00
11	Kenosha Human Development Services, Inc.	Kenosha Permanent Housing Connections	PSH	78.39%	150.5 /192	Accept	\$ 419,846.00	\$ 12,837.44
12	Coulecap, Inc.	Coulecap Housing First II PSH	PSH	78.26%	144 /184	Accept	\$ 402,141.00	\$ 14,552.41
13	City of Appleton	Fox Cities Housing Coalition RRH Program	RRH	77.78%	149.33 /192	Accept	\$ 191,952.00	\$ 8,387.45
14	Community Action Coalition for South-Central Wisconsin	Jefferson County Transitional Housing Program	TH	77.45%	142.5 /184	Accept	\$ 168,164.00	\$ 15,287.64
15	Pillars, Inc	It Takes a Village Permanent Supportive Housing Program	PSH	75.52%	145 /192	Accept	\$ 190,890.00	\$ 7,490.20
16	Newcap, Inc.	SHP Housing First	PSH	75.48%	138.875 /184	Accept	\$ 214,395.00	\$ 7,392.93
17	Community Action, Inc. of Rock & Walworth Counties	CAI_PSH	PSH	73.96%	142 /192	Accept	\$ 234,206.00	\$ 8,813.38
18	North Central Community Action Program, Inc.	NCCAP Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	73.78%	135.75 /184	Accept	\$ 191,217.00	\$ 17,383.36
19	West Central Wisconsin Community Action Agency, Inc.	West CAP Rapid Re-Housing	RRH	72.40%	139 /192	Accept	\$ 363,988.00	\$ 9,675.68
20	City of Appleton	Fox Cities Housing Coalition RRH Program Expansion	RRH	69.44%	133.33 /192	Accept	\$ 85,187.00	\$ 7,937.90
21	West Central Wisconsin Community Action Agency, Inc.	West CAP Permanent Supportive Housing II	PSH	69.27%	133 /192	Accept	\$ 663,286.00	\$ 16,273.65

22	Community Action, Inc. of Rock & Walworth Counties	CAI_RRH	RRH	68.75%	132 /192	Accept	\$ 503,493.00	\$ 8,443.95
23	Hebron House of Hospitality, Inc	Jeremy PSH Project	PSH	1st time renewal (TG)	NA	Accept	\$ 118,755.00	NA
24	Lakeshore CAP Inc. of Wisconsin	Lakeshore PSH	PSH	1st time renewal (TG)	NA	Accept	\$ 140,259.00	NA
25	House of Hope Green Bay, Inc	House of Hope Youth Rapid Re-Housing	RRH	1st time renewal (exp)	NA	Accept	\$ 526,366.00	NA
26	Western Dairyland	PSH 1	PSH	1st time renewal (exp)	NA	Accept	\$ 513,420.00	NA
27	Wisconsin Balance of State Continuum of Care, Inc.	WIBOSCOC RRH Project	RRH	1st time renewal (exp)	NA	Accept	\$ 4,117,694.00	NA
28	Institute for Community Alliances	Wisconsin HMIS Project Renewal	HMIS	HMIS	NA	Accept	\$ 371,429.00	NA
29	Wisconsin Balance of State Continuum of Care, Inc.	WIBOSCOC Supportive Services for Coordinated Entry	SSO	SSO CE	NA	Accept	\$ 717,935.00	NA
30	Wisconsin Balance of State Continuum of Care, Inc.	WIBOSCOC Supportive Services for Coordinated Entry DV	SSO	SSO CE DV	NA	Accept	\$ 176,000.00	NA
31	Institute for Community Alliances	Wisconsin HMIS Project Expansion	HMIS	HMIS	NA	Accept	\$ 125,004.00	NA
Total ARD (GIW) = \$17,775,890 minus YHDP (3,664,489) = 14,111,401. (Tier 1 is 93%, limit: 13,123,603)				Tier 1 Total			13,021,536	

Tier 2								
32	Baraboo Area Homeless Shelter	Home Stretch Permanent Supportive Housing Project	PSH	New - Bonus	NA	Accept	\$ 197,269.00	NA
33	The Salvation Army	Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	New - Bonus	NA	Accept	\$ 437,787.00	NA
34	ADVOCAP	Winnebagoland Rapid Rehousing Expansion	RRH	New - Bonus	NA	Accept	\$ 318,885.00	NA
35	Lakeshore CAP	PSH Expansion	PSH	New - Bonus	NA	Accept	\$ 132,371.00	NA
36	ADVOCAP, Inc.	Winnebagoland Rapid Rehousing	RRH	66.54%	127.75 /192	Accept	\$ 278,224.00	\$ 8,679.61
37	Central Wisconsin Community Action Council, Inc.	Project Chance Rapid Rehousing	RRH	62.50%	120 /192	Accept	\$ 292,804.00	\$ 12,283.48
38	ADVOCAP, Inc.	COC Winnebagoland Rapid Rehousing	RRH	61.33%	117.75 /192	Accept	\$ 125,684.00	\$ 24,109.60
39	ADVOCAP, Inc.	Winnebagoland PSH	PSH	58.72%	112.75 /192	Accept	\$ 137,025.00	\$ 27,071.00
40	City of Appleton	Fox Cities HP Rapid Rehousing Program	RRH	55.21%	106 /192	Accept	\$ 62,780.00	\$ 14,765.00
41	Lutheran Social Services of Wisconsin and Upper Michigan, Inc.	Welcome Home Eau Claire	RRH	51.52%	84.5 /164	Accept	\$ 92,740.00	\$ 47,138.00
42	ADVOCAP, Inc.	ADVOCAP Fond du Lac Rapid Rehousing	RRH	43.10%	82.75 /192	Accept	\$ 100,608.00	\$ 12,325.50
43	Community Action Coalition for South-	Project WISH Expansion	PSH	New - Bonus	NA	Accept	\$ 158,000.00	NA

44	Wisconsin Balance of State Continuum of Care, Inc.	WIBOSCOG RRH Project Expansion	RRH	New - DV BONUS	NA	Accept	\$ 1,218,609.00	NA
45	Wisconsin Balance of State Continuum of Care, Inc.	WIBOSCOG Supportive Services for Coordinated Entry DV Expansion	SSO	New - DV BONUS	NA	Accept	\$ 121,644.00	NA
				Tier 2 Total			\$3,674,430	

Tier 2 = Bonus

DV Bonus: \$1,340,253

PH Bonus: \$1,244,312

**

HUD CHANGE 9/5

YHDP Renewal & Replacement Grants (non-competitive)

1	Central Wisconsin Community Action Council, Inc.	Next Door - Youth Joint TH/RRH in Central	TH - RRH	Renewal		Accept	\$240,000
2	Everyone Cooperating to Help Others	YHDP RRH	RRH	Renewal		Accept	\$187,444
3	Hebron House of Hospitality, Inc	Hebron Youth Housing Program	TH - RRH	Renewal		Accept	\$397,170
4	House of Hope Green Bay, Inc	House of Hope Drop-In Center and Supportive Services for Homeless Youth	SSO	Renewal		Accept	\$300,000
5	Institute for Community Alliances	WI BoS ICA HMIS YHDP	HMIS	Renewal		Accept	\$50,000
6	Kenosha Human Development Services, Inc.	Project YELLOW Door	TH - RRH	Replace-ment		Accept	\$243,518
7	Newcap, Inc.	Mobile Outreach and Support Team (MOST)	SSO	Renewal		Accept	\$283,000
8	The Salvation Army	YHDP Rural North and West Central	TH - RRH	Renewal		Accept	\$399,254

		TOTAL						\$0
--	--	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------

NEW PROJECTS								
	Institute for Community Alliances	HMIS Project Renewal Expansion	HMIS	Relinquished				\$125,004
	ADVOCAP	WinnebagoLand RRH Expansion	RRH	BONUS				\$318,885
	Community Action Coalition for South-Central Wisconsin	Project WISH Expansion	PSH	BONUS				\$158,000
	Lakeshore CAP	PSH Expansion	PSH	BONUS				\$132,371
	Baraboo Area Homeless Shelter	Home Stretch Permanent Supportive Housing Project	PSH	BONUS				\$197,269
	The Salvation Army	Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	BONUS				\$437,787
	Wisconsin Balance of State Continuum of Care, Inc.	WIBOSCOC RRH Project Expansion	RRH	DV BONUS				\$1,218,609
	Wisconsin Balance of State Continuum of Care, Inc.	WIBOSCOC Supportive Services for Coordinated Entry DV Expansion	SSO	DV BONUS				\$121,644
		TOTAL						\$2,709,569

DV Bonus:	\$	1,340,253.00
PH Bonus:	\$	1,244,312.00
	\$	2,584,565.00
Relinquish	\$	125,004.00
	\$	2,709,569.00

Applicant Info							
Agency	Type	Program Name	Total Award \$	# Leavers (minus deceased)	# Leavers to PH	PSH = stayers	Successful Outcome
ADVOCAP	RRH	ADVOCAP Fond du Lac Rapid Rehousing	\$98,604.00	27	8		8
ADVOCAP	PSH	Winnebagoland PSH	\$135,355.00	4	1	4	5
ADVOCAP	RRH	Winnebagoland Rapid Rehousing	\$269,068.00	32	31		31
ADVOCAP	RRH	COC Winnebagoland Rapid Rehousing	\$120,548.00	12	5		5
CACSCW	TH	Jefferson County TH	\$168,164.00	12-1=11	11		11
CACSCW	PSH	Project WISH	\$199,327.00	2	1	10	11
CAI	RRH	CAI_RRH	\$481,305.00	69	57		57
CAI	PSH	CAI_PSH	\$229,148.00	6	4	22	26
City of Appleton	RRH	Fox Cities Housing Coalition Rapid Re-Housing	\$184,524.00	25	22		22
City of Appleton	RRH	Fox Cities Housing Coalition Rapid Re-Housing Expansion	\$79,379.00	29	10		10
City of Appleton	RRH	Fox Cities HP Rapid Re-Housing	\$59,060.00	24	4		4
Couleecap	PSH	Housing First PHP	\$238,694.00	6	6	15	21
Couleecap	PSH	Housing First II PHP	\$392,915.00	7-1=6	2	25	27
CWCAC	RRH	Project Chance Rapid Rehousing	\$282,520.00	23	23		23
KHDS	RRH	KYF Rapid Rehousing Project	\$145,983.00	10	6		6
KHDS	PSH	Kenosha Permament Housing Connections	\$410,798.00	14-1=13	9	23	32
KHDS	RRH	MyHOME Rapid Rehousing Project	\$160,043.00	11-1=10	9		9
Lutheran Social Services	RRH	Welcome Home Eau Claire (RRH)	\$94,276.00	6	2		2
Newcap	PSH	SHP Housing First	\$214,395.00	4-1=3	2	27	29
Newcap	PSH	Brown County PSH Individuals	\$930,132.00	15-9=6	3	65	68

Newcap	PSH	Brown County PSH Families	\$66,488.00	0	0	8	8
Newcap	RRH	Brown County Youth RRH Project	\$373,042.00	44	39		39
NCCAP	PSH	PSH	\$191,217.00	5	2	9	11
Pillars	PSH	It takes a Village PSH	\$187,255.00	12	7	18	25
Walworth County Housing Authority	PSH	Hartwell Street Apartments	\$70,810.00	1	0	14	14
West CAP	RRH	West CAP Rapid Rehousing II	\$358,000.00	54	37		37
West CAP	PSH	West CAP Permanent Supportive Housing	\$165,469.00	5	4	10	14
West CAP	PSH	West CAP Permanent Supportive Housing II	\$650,946.00	33	15	25	40
Western Dairyland	PSH	PSH 3	\$196,339.00	4-2=2	0	12	12

Cost Per Successful Outcome
\$12,325.50
\$27,071.00
\$8,679.61
\$24,109.60
\$15,287.64
\$18,120.64
\$8,443.95
\$8,813.38
\$8,387.45
\$7,937.90
\$14,765.00
\$11,366.38
\$14,552.41
\$12,283.48
\$24,330.50
\$12,837.44
\$17,782.56
\$47,138.00
\$7,392.93
\$13,678.41

\$8,311.00
\$9,565.18
\$17,383.36
\$7,490.20
\$5,057.86
\$9,675.68
\$11,819.21
\$16,273.65
\$16,361.58

Part 1: Timeliness & Action Plans (maximum 8 points)

Timeliness		
APR (SAGE)	0	-2
Board Request	0	-2
Proj. App.	0	-2

Action Plan		
Met all goals	set new ones	8
Met some + progress	set new ones	6
Did not meet any, continue progress	set new ones	4
Did not meet any, minimal explanation	set new ones	2
Did not meet, no explanation	set new ones	1
Did not set any goals		0

Part 2: Objective Criteria: Program Performance-Operations (maximum 50 points)

Operations	5	4	3	2	1
Effective Use of Federal Funds	95-100%	90-94.9%	85-89.9%	80-84.9%	NA
Unit Utilitization-annual average	96-100%	90-95%	80-89%	75-79%	NA
Data Completeness	0 - 1.0%	1.1 - 2%	2.1 - 3%	3.1 - 4%	>4.1%
eLOCCS Drawdown	1 x/quarter	NA	NA	NA	NA
Housing First	5	4	3	2	1
Exits to Non-Homeless Destinations	95-100%	90-94%	80-89%	70-79%	69% or less
Reasons for Exit	95-100%	90-94%	80-89%	70-79%	69% or less
Monitoring - access	fully compliant	mostly compliant	somewhat compliant	somewhat non-compliant	mostly non-compliant
Monitoring - participant	fully compliant	mostly compliant	somewhat compliant	somewhat non-compliant	mostly non-compliant
Monitoring - leasing/rental	fully compliant	mostly compliant	somewhat compliant	somewhat non-compliant	mostly non-compliant

Monitoring - services	fully compliant	mostly compliant	somewhat compliant	somewhat non-compliant	mostly non-compliant
-----------------------	-----------------	------------------	--------------------	------------------------	----------------------

Part 3: Objective Criteria: Program Performance (Maximum 32 points)

PSH Projects	8	6	3	0
Increase Earned Income	54%+	35-53%	20-34%	19% or less
Increase Non-employment income	65%+	50-64%	35-49%	34% or less
Connect to Non-cash benefits	65%+	50-64%	35-49%	34% or less
Connect to health insurance	65%+	50-64%	35-49%	34% or less
TH & RRH Projects	8	6	3	0
Increase Earned Income	30%+	20-29%	10-19%	9% or less
Increase Non-employment income	65%+	50-64%	35-49%	34% or less
Connect to Non-cash benefits	65%+	50-64%	35-49%	34% or less
Connect to health insurance	65%+	50-64%	35-49%	34% or less

**Housing Stability, Cash & non-Cash income are both program performance measures but also are system performance measures.*

Part 4: System Performance Measures (Maximum 40 points)

Reoccurrence	10	8	6	4	0
SPM	0-5%	5.1-10%	10.1-15%	15.1-20%	20.1%+
LOTH - Project Type	10	5	0		
LOTH PSH #1 (90 days or less)	55%+	45-54.9%	44.9% or less		
LOTH PSH #2 (has move in)	65%+	45-64.9%	44.9% or less		
LOTH TH #1 (12 mo or less)	50%+	NA	Less than 50%		
LOTH TH #2 (12 mo or less)	25%+	NA	Less than 25%		
LOTH RRH #1 (90 days or less)	55%+	45-54.9%	44.9% or less		
LOTH RRH #2 (has move in)	65%+	45-64.9%	44.9% or less		
Housing Stability	10	5	0		
Housing Stability PSH (exit + remaining)	90%+	70-89%	69% or less		
Housing Stability TH & RRH (exits)	80%+	60-79%	59% or less		

Part 5: Population (Maximum 32 points)

PSH Projects	8	6	4	2	0
Chronic homeless	75%+	50-74%	25-49%	10-24%	9% or less
disabilities	50%+	35-50%	20-34%	10-19%	9% or less
place not meant HH	50%+	35-50%	20-34%	10-19%	9% or less
no income at entry	50%+	35-50%	20-34%	10-19%	9% or less
TH Projects	8	6	4	2	0
Chronic homeless	50%+	35-50%	20-34%	10-19%	9% or less
disabilities	50%+	35-50%	20-34%	10-19%	9% or less
place not meant HH	25%+	20-24%	10-19%	1-9%	0%
no income at entry	25%+	20-24%	10-19%	1-9%	0%
RRH Projects	8	6	4	2	0
Chronic homeless	25%+	20-24%	10-19%	1-9%	0%
disabilities	25%+	20-24%	10-19%	1-9%	0%
place not meant HH	25%+	20-24%	10-19%	1-9%	0%
no income at entry	25%+	20-24%	10-19%	1-9%	0%

Purple = Severe Barriers

Part 6: Coordinated Entry (Maximum 30 points)

CE	10	8	6	0
Findings	none	yes >30	31-60 days	61+
Timely FU	95%	90-94%	80-89%	79% or less
Referrals	95%	90-94%	80-89%	79% or less

Total Points Possible = 192 pts

Objective Criteria =	77	40.1%
eLoccs (5), utilization (5), Fund Spent (5), data completeness (5), Housing first (25), chronicity (8), disability (8), no income (8), unsheltered (8)		
System performance =	61	31.8%
housing stability (10), increase income & non-earned (16), reoccurrence (10), LOTH by project type (20), housing first-exits to PH (5)		
Additional =	54	28.1%
Action Plans (8), non-cash benefits (8), health insurance (8), coordinated entry (30)		

BONUS	6	4	2	0
Use of CE	3+	2	1	0

Tiebreaker: Cost Effectiveness

Tota HUD awarded divided by # successful outcomes
 Successful = exits to PH (or retention for PSH)

Part 7: Point-in-Time (Penalty Points Only)

Non-participation Jan.	-10
Late Submission - Jan.	-10
Non-participation July	-10

Late Submission - July

-10

Only Scored Renewal Projects are listed here.

		ADVOCAP	ADVOCAP	ADVOCAP	ADVOCAP	CACSCW	CACSCW	CAI	CAI	City of Appleton	City of Appleton	City of Appleton
		Fond du Lac RRH	Winn. PSH	Winn. RRH	COC Winn. RRH	Jefferson TH	PSH	RRH	PSH	Fox Cities RRH	Fox Cities RRH Exp	HP RRH
P T 1 & 7	SAGE APR on time (0)	-2	-2	-2	-2	0	-2	0	0	0	0	0
	Board Request on time & complete (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Project App. on time (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Action Plan (8)	5.75	5.75	5.75	5.75	6.5	7	5	5	8	8	8
	Non-Part Jan. PIT (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Data Jan. PIT (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Non-Part July PIT (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Data July PIT (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P T	Effective Use (5)	0	0	0	0	5	4	3	5	4	5	0
	Unit Utilization (5)	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	5	0
	Data Complete (5)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4
	eLOCCS (5)	0	0	0	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	HF-exits (5)	1	1	3	5	5	5	5	4	3	2	1

2	HF-reasons (5)	1	1	1	1	5	1	3	5	1	3	4
	HF-access (5)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.33	4.33	4
	HF-input (5)	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
	HF - L/R (5)	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	3.67	3.67	3
	HF-Services (5)	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4.33	4.33	4
	Increase E Income (8)	0	0	3	3	6	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Increase NE income (8)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Non Cash benefits (8)	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	8	8	8	8
	Health Insurance (8)	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	8	8	8
P T 4	Reocc-SPM (10)	0	6	8	10	0	6	0	6	10	6	4
	LOTH: #1 (10)	0	0	10	10	10	10	0	10	10	5	0
	LOTH: #2 (10)	0	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	5	0
	Housing Stability (10)	0	0	10	0	10	10	10	10	10	0	0
P T 5	CH (8)	0	8	4	0	NA	NA	4	0	0	8	4
	Dis (8)	6	8	6	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	PNMHH (8)	2	6	2	0	2	4	8	4	4	4	6
	No income (8)	2	2	0	0	4	8	2	2	6	2	4

P T 6	Findings (10)	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	10	8	8	8
	Follow up (10)	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	8	0
	Referrals (10)	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	8	8	8	10
	TOTAL Earned	80.75	110.75	125.75	115.75	142.5	147	128	138	143.33	127.33	100
	CE BONUS (up to 6)	2	2	2	2	0	0	4	4	6	6	6
	Total earned plus BONUS	82.75	112.75	127.75	117.75	142.5	147	132	142	149.33	133.33	106
	TOTAL Possible (192 - unless otherwise noted)	192	192	192	192	184	184	192	192	192	192	192
	Percentage Score	43.10%	58.72%	66.54%	61.33%	77.45%	79.89%	68.75%	73.96%	77.78%	69.44%	55.21%
	Below 70% Threshold (draft 1)	X	X	X	X			X			X	X
		ADVOCAP	ADVOCAP	ADVOCAP	ADVOCAP	CACSCW	CACSCW	CAI	CAI	City of Appleton	City of Appleton	City of Appleton
	Fond du Lac RRH	Winn. PSH	Winn. RRH	COC Winn. RRH	Jefferson TH	PSH	RRH	PSH	Fox Cities RRH	Fox Cities RRH Exp	HP RRH	

Couleecap	Couleecap	CWCAC	KHDS	KHDS	KHDS	LSS	NEWCAP	NEWCAP	NEWCAP	NEWCAP	NCCAP	NWCSA	Pillars
Housing First	Housing First 2	RRH	KYF RRH	PSH	My Home RRH	RRH	SHP Housing First PSH	Brown PSH I	Brown PSH Families	Brown Youth RRH	PSH	PSH	ITAV PSH
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	6	7	7.5	7.5	7.5	6.5	6.875	8	8	8	7.75	5.75	8
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	-10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	5	3	5	2	4	0	5	5	5	5	5	0	5
4	4	0	4	2	5	0	2	2	5	0	4	0	3
5	5	5	5	5	5	1	5	5	3	5	5	4	5
5	5	0	5	5	0	0	0	5	5	5	5	NA	5
5	2	5	3	3	4	3	2	3	5	5	3	1	2

5	1	5	5	2	5	2	1	1	5	4	1	2	2
5	5	3	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	2	4
4	4	2	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	3	4
5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	2	4
5	5	3	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	3	4
0	0	8	3	0	8	6	0	0	3	6	0	0	0
0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	8	8
8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	8	8	8	8
4	0	4	6	10	4	6	6	4	NA	0	6	0	10
10	10	10	10	10	10	0	10	10	10	10	10	0	10
10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	10
10	5	10	5	5	10	0	10	10	10	10	5	0	5
NA	NA	0	NA	8	0	NA	NA	NA	8	2	NA	0	8
8	8	4	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	8	8	8	8
8	6	2	8	6	8	8	6	8	2	6	8	2	6
6	6	2	0	4	8	0	2	6	4	4	4	0	2

10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	8
10	10	6	6	6	6	NA	10	10	10	10	0	10	0
10	10	0	8	8	8	NA	8	8	8	8	6	10	10
156	138	120	141.5	144.5	153.5	82.5	134.875	143	137	146	131.75	76.75	139
6	6	0	6	6	6	2	4	6	6	6	4	NA	6
162	144	120	147.5	150.5	159.5	84.5	138.875	149	143	152	135.75	76.75	145
184	184	192	184	192	192	164	184	184	182	192	184	192	192
88.04%	78.26%	62.50%	80.16%	78.39%	83.07%	51.52%	75.48%	80.98%	78.57%	79.17%	73.78%	39.97%	75.52%
		X				X						X	
Coulecap	Coulecap	CWCAC	KHDS	KHDS	KHDS	LSS	NEWCAP	NEWCAP	NEWCAP	NEWCAP	NCCAP	NWCSA	Pillars
Housing First	Housing First 2	RRH	KYF RRH	PSH	My Home RRH	RRH	SHP Housing First PSH	Brown PSH I	Brown PSH Families	Brown Youth RRH	PSH	PSH	ITAV PSH

Walworth County Housing Authority	West CAP	West CAP	West CAP	Western Dairyland EOC
PSH	RRH II	PSH	PSH 2	PSH 3
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
5	6	6	6	6.5
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
5	0	5	0	5
4	0	2	0	5
5	5	5	5	5
0	5	5	5	5
5	2	3	1	2

5	3	1	1	5
3	4	4	4	5
4	3	3	3	4
5	5	5	5	5
5	5	5	5	4
0	0	6	0	3
8	0	3	0	0
8	8	8	8	8
8	8	8	8	8
6	0	4	10	6
10	10	10	10	10
10	10	10	10	10
10	5	10	0	5
NA	8	6	6	8
8	8	8	8	8
8	8	6	4	4
2	4	2	2	4

10	8	8	8	8
NA	10	10	10	10
NA	10	10	10	10
134	135	153	129	153.5
4	4	4	4	2
138	139	157	133	155.5
164	192	192	192	192
84.15%	72.40%	81.77%	69.27%	80.99%
	X		X	
Walworth County PHA	West CAP	West CAP	West CAP	Western Dairyland EOC
PSH	RRH II	PSH	PSH 2	PSH 3

Part 1: Timeliness & Action Plan

Agency Name	Project Name	APR Grant Year	APR Deadline	HUD APR - SAGE	Accepted by HUD Milw. FO	Board Req.	Proj. App.	Action Plan	Total award	Total Spent	Unspent Funds
ADVOCAP	ADVOCAP Fond du Lac Rapid Rehousing	9/1 - 8/31	11.29.22	12.2.22	1.18.23	yes	yes	5.750	\$98,604.00	\$62,109.24	\$36,494.76
ADVOCAP	WinnebagoLand PSH	1/1 - 12/31	3.31.23	4.3.23	7.3.23	yes	yes	5.750	\$135,355.00	\$104,158.00	\$31,197.00
ADVOCAP	WinnebagoLand Rapid Rehousing	1/1 - 12/31	3.31.23	4.3.23	7.3.23	yes	yes	5.750	\$269,068.00	\$233,932.70	\$35,135.30
ADVOCAP	COC WinnebagoLand Rapid Rehousing	1/1 - 12/31	3.31.23	4.3.23	4.25.23	yes	yes	5.750	\$120,548.00	\$83,251.17	\$37,296.83
CACSCW	Jefferson County TH	5/1 - 4/30	7.21.23	6.13.23	6.23.23	yes	yes	6.500	\$168,164.00	\$168,164.00	\$0.00
CACSCW	Project WISH	9/1 - 8/31	11.29.22	2.22.23	3.20.23	yes	yes	7.000	\$199,327.00	\$187,683.00	\$11,644.00
CAI	CAI_RRH	1/1 - 12/31	3.31.23	3.17.23	3.27.23	yes	yes	5.000	\$481,305.00	\$431,878.06	\$49,426.94
CAI	CAI_PSH	9/1 - 8/31	11.29.22	11.18.22	11.26.22	yes	yes	5.000	\$229,148.00	\$219,559.00	\$9,589.00
City of Appleton	Fox Cities Housing Coalition Rapid Re-Housing	10/1 - 9/30	12.29.22	12.5.22	12.13.22	yes	yes	8.000	\$184,524.00	\$170,154.00	\$14,370.00
City of Appleton	Fox Cities Housing Coalition Rapid Re-Housing Expansion	10/1 - 9/30	12.29.22	12.5.22	12.13.22	yes	yes	8.000	\$79,379.00	\$76,331.00	\$3,048.00
City of Appleton	Fox Cities HP Rapid Re-Housing	1/1 - 12/31	3.31.23	1.19.23	1.20.23	yes	yes	8.000	\$59,060.00	\$36,365.00	\$22,695.00
Couleecap	Housing First PHP	10/1 - 9/30	12.29.22	11.16.23	11.26.22	yes	yes	6.000	\$238,694.00	\$238,694.00	\$0.00
Couleecap	Housing First II PHP	10/1 - 9/30	12.29.22	12.28.22	12.30.22	yes	yes	6.000	\$392,915.00	\$392,915.00	\$0.00
CWCAC	Project Chance Rapid Rehousing	8/1 - 7/31	10.29.22	10.17.22	10.27.22	yes	yes	7.000	\$282,520.00	\$250,276.42	\$32,243.58
KHDS	KYF Rapid Rehousing Project	7/1 - 6/30	9.28.23	8.3.23	8.9.23	yes	yes	7.500	\$145,983.00	\$145,983.00	\$0.00
KHDS	Kenosha Permannet Housing Connections	1/1 - 12/31	3.31.23	3.16.23	3.17.23	yes	yes	7.500	\$410,798.00	\$335,582.00	\$75,216.00
KHDS	MyHOME Rapid Rehousing Project	3/1 - 2/28	5.29.23	5.23.23	7.3.23	yes	yes	7.500	\$160,043.00	\$148,598.00	\$11,445.00
Lutheran Social Services	Welcome Home Eau Claire (RRH)	7/1 - 6/30	9.28.22	9.27.22	10.19.22	yes	yes	6.500	\$94,276.00	\$51,990.39	\$42,285.61

Newcap	SHP Housing First	4/1 - 3/31	6.29.23	6.1.23	7.3.23	yes	yes	6.875	\$214,395.00	\$203,726.67	\$10,668.33
Newcap	Brown County PSH Individuals	1/1 - 12/31	3.31.23	3.27.23	4.6.23	yes	yes	8.000	\$930,132.00	\$894,792.55	\$35,339.45
Newcap	Brown County PSH Families	12/1 - 11/30	2.28.23	1.26.23	2.10.23	yes	yes	8.000	\$66,488.00	\$66,488.00	\$0.00
Newcap	Brown County Youth RRH Project	9/1 - 8/31	11.29.22	10.25.22	10.27.22	yes	yes	8.000	\$373,042.00	\$373,042.00	\$0.00
NCCAP	PSH	7/1 - 6/30	9.28.23	8.2.23	8.9.23	yes	yes	7.750	\$191,217.00	\$191,217.00	\$0.00
NWCSA	PSH	10/1 - 9/30	12.29.22	12.20.22	12.30.22	yes	NA - Relinq.	5.750	\$122,074.00	\$54,696.00	\$67,378.00
Pillars	It takes a Village PSH	9/1 - 8/31	11.29.22	11.29.22	12.2.22	yes	yes	8.000	\$187,255.00	\$187,255.00	\$0.00
Walworth County Housing Authority	Hartwell Street Apartments	7/1 - 6/30	9.28.22	8.10.22	9.9.22	yes	yes	5.000	\$70,810.00	\$70,810.00	\$0.00
West CAP	West CAP Rapid Rehousing II	7/1 - 6/30	9.28.22	9.27.22	10.19.22	yes	yes	6.000	\$358,000.00	\$244,840.53	\$113,159.47
West CAP	West CAP Permanent Supportive Housing	7/1 - 6/30	9.28.22	9.27.22	9.28.22	yes	yes	6.000	\$165,469.00	\$159,027.60	\$6,441.40
West CAP	West CAP Permanent Supportive Housing II	9/1 - 8/31	11.29.22	10.19.22	10.28.22	yes	yes	6.000	\$650,946.00	\$519,983.32	\$130,962.68
Western Dairyland	PSH 3	11/1 - 10/31	1.29.23	1.26.23	3.7.23	yes	yes	6.500	\$196,339.00	\$189,549.16	\$6,789.84

Projects Not Scored - 1st time renewal	
Hebron House	Jeremy PSH Project
House of Hope - Green Bay	Youth Rapid Rehousing
Lakeshore CAP	PSH
Western Dairyland	PSH 1
WI Balance of State CoC	RRH Project

Part 2: Objective Criteria: Program Performance-Operations

% unspent	% spent	Unit Ut. Av.	Total # people	Total x 15	# DC errors	DC Error %	eLOCCS Draw	H1st - Exits to non-H situations	H1st - Reasons for Exit	Monitor - Access	Monitor - Client	Monitor - Leasing or rental	Monitor - Services	Total Clients	Total Adults	Leavers
37.01%	62.99%	55.00%	30	450	2	0.44%	no	26.32%	57.89%	5	4	5	4	30	12	27
23.05%	76.95%	24.00%	8	120	0	0.00%	no	33.33%	66.67%	5	4	5	4	8	8	4
13.06%	86.94%	63.00%	68	1020	1	0.10%	no	80.56%	38.89%	5	4	5	4	68	23	32
30.94%	69.06%	32.00%	22	330	1	0.30%	no	95.24%	57.14%	5	4	5	4	22	14	12
0.00%	100.00%	42.00%	30	450	1	0.22%	yes	100.00%	100.00%	5	4	5	5	30	16	12
5.84%	94.16%	86.00%	12	180	1	0.56%	yes	100.00%	50.00%	5	4	5	5	12	12	2
10.27%	89.73%	58.00%	129	1935	17	0.88%	yes	95.24%	87.30%	5	4	4	5	129	60	69
4.18%	95.82%	59.00%	28	420	7	1.67%	yes	90.91%	100.00%	5	4	4	5	28	19	6
7.79%	92.21%	71.88%	50	750	0	0.00%	yes	88.00%	68.00%	4.33	4	3.67	4.33	50	18	25
3.84%	96.16%	97.85%	37	555	0	0.00%	yes	79.31%	89.66%	4.33	4	3.67	4.33	37	19	29
38.43%	61.57%	45.00%	30	450	8	1.78%	yes	39.29%	92.86%	4	4	3	4	30	18	24
0.00%	100.00%	93.00%	21	315	0	0.00%	yes	100.00%	100.00%	5	4	5	5	21	21	6
0.00%	100.00%	93.00%	32	480	0	0.00%	yes	71.43%	42.86%	5	4	5	5	32	32	7
11.41%	88.59%	68.00%	54	810	0	0.00%	no	100.00%	95.00%	3	2	4	3	54	25	23
0.00%	100.00%	94.00%	20	300	0	0.00%	yes	87.50%	100.00%	4	5	5	5	20	20	10
18.31%	81.69%	74.00%	37	555	0	0.00%	yes	88.89%	77.78%	4	5	5	5	37	37	14
7.15%	92.85%	103.00%	22	330	0	0.00%	no	93.33%	100.00%	4	5	5	5	22	22	11
44.85%	55.15%	22.00%	9	135	7	5.19%	no	85.71%	71.43%	5	4	5	4	9	9	6

4.98%	95.02%	75.00%	31	465	2	0.43%	no	71.43%	42.86%	4	4	4	4	31	24	4
3.80%	96.20%	79.00%	80	1200	2	0.17%	yes	86.21%	65.52%	4	4	4	4	80	79	15
0.00%	100.00%	103.00%	8	120	3	2.50%	yes	100.00%	100.00%	4	4	4	4	8	4	0
0.00%	100.00%	65.00%	123	1845	12	0.65%	yes	100.00%	93.33%	4	4	4	4	123	66	44
0.00%	100.00%	93.00%	14	210	0	0.00%	yes	83.33%	50.00%	4	5	4	5	14	14	5
55.19%	44.81%	36.00%	14	210	3	1.43%	NA	57.14%	71.43%	2	3	2	3	14	12	7
0.00%	100.00%	87.00%	30	450	0	0.00%	yes	76.92%	76.92%	4	4	4	4	30	30	12
0.00%	100.00%	90.00%	15	225	1	0.44%	no	100.00%	100.00%	3	4	5	5	15	15	1
31.61%	68.39%	39.00%	86	1290	8	0.62%	yes	79.49%	82.05%	4	3	5	5	86	48	54
3.89%	96.11%	75.00%	15	225	1	0.44%	yes	80.00%	66.67%	4	3	5	5	15	14	5
20.12%	79.88%	68.00%	58	870	2	0.23%	yes	67.74%	66.67%	4	3	5	5	58	41	33
3.46%	96.54%	99.00%	16	240	0	0.00%	yes	75.00%	100.00%	5	4	5	4	16	14	4

Part 3: Objective Criteria: Program Performance

Adult Leavers	Leavers minus Deceased	Exit to PH Destination	PSH - Stayers	Math	Housing Stability %	Earned	Total	Increase Cash Income %	Non-earned	Total	Increase Non-Cash Income %	Non-Cash Benefits	Total	NC %
11	27	8			29.63%	1	12	8.33%	0	12	0.00%	12	12	100.00%
4	4	1	4	5 out of 8	62.50%	0	5	0.00%	1	5	20.00%	5	5	100.00%
9	32	31			96.88%	2	11	18.18%	3	11	27.27%	8	9	88.89%
6	12	5			41.67%	1	7	14.29%	1	7	14.29%	7	7	100.00%
5	12-1=11	11			100.00%	2	7	28.57%	2	7	28.57%	5	7	71.43%
2	2	1	10	11 out of 12	91.67%	0	10	0.00%	2	10	20.00%	8	10	80.00%
33	69	57			82.61%	0	37	0.00%	0	37	0.00%	24	37	64.86%
4	6	4	22	26 out of 28	92.86%	0	11	0.00%	3	11	27.27%	11	12	91.67%
9	25	22			88.00%	2	13	15.38%	3	13	23.08%	13	13	100.00%
15	29	10			34.48%	0	16	0.00%	1	16	6.25%	14	16	87.50%
13	24	4			16.67%	2	13	15.38%	0	13	0.00%	11	13	84.62%
6	6	6	15	21 out of 21	100.00%	1	15	6.67%	4	15	26.67%	14	15	93.33%
7	7-1=6	2	25	27 out of 31	87.10%	4	26	15.38%	8	26	30.77%	22	26	84.62%
11	23	23			100.00%	7	13	53.85%	6	13	46.15%	13	13	100.00%
10	10	6			60.00%	2	15	13.33%	2	15	13.33%	12	15	80.00%
14	14-1=13	9	23	32 out of 36	88.89%	2	25	8.00%	1	25	4.00%	24	25	96.00%
11	11-1=10	9			90.00%	4	12	33.33%	1	12	8.33%	10	12	83.33%
6	6	2			33.33%	1	5	20.00%	1	5	20.00%	6	6	100.00%

4	4-1=3	2	27	29 out of 30	96.67%	2	17	11.76%	7	17	41.18%	15	17	88.24%
15	15-9=6	3	65	68 out of 71	95.77%	1	53	1.89%	15	53	28.30%	47	53	88.68%
0	0	0	8	8 out of 8	100.00%	1	4	25.00%	0	4	0.00%	1	4	25.00%
25	44	39			88.64%	6	26	23.08%	3	26	11.54%	23	24	95.83%
5	5	2	9	11 out of 14	78.57%	1	12	8.33%	4	12	33.33%	9	12	75.00%
6	7	1	7	8 out of 14	57.14%	0	10	0.00%	0	10	0.00%	7	7	100.00%
12	12	7	18	25 out of 30	83.33%	2	24	8.33%	6	24	25.00%	20	24	83.33%
1	1	0	14	14 out of 15	93.33%	0	13	0.00%	11	13	84.62%	13	13	100.00%
30	54	37			68.52%	3	32	9.38%	5	32	15.63%	26	32	81.25%
5	5	4	10	14 out of 15	93.33%	5	10	50.00%	4	10	40.00%	8	12	66.67%
18	33	15	25	40 out of 58	68.97%	2	32	6.25%	9	32	28.13%	26	32	81.25%
4	4-2=2	0	12	12 out of 14	85.71%	2	10	20.00%	1	10	10.00%	8	9	88.89%

Has health insurance	Total	HI %	Reoccur SPM - Total Exits	Reoccur SPM - Perm Exits	Reoccur SPM - # returns	Reoccur SPM %	Number of Clients	In 365 days or less	%	Number of Clients	Moved Into Housing	with in 90 days	% moved into housing	% moved in w/in 90 days
28	29	96.55%	17	15	4	26.67%				22	8	8	36.36%	36.36%
5	5	100.00%	6	2	1	50.00%				9	6	4	66.67%	44.44%
36	43	83.72%	27	20	2	10.00%				81	71	67	87.65%	82.72%
14	14	100.00%	14	7	0	0.00%				24	21	21	87.50%	87.50%
16	17	94.12%	23	23	9	39.13%	33	21	63.64%					
10	11	90.91%	3	0	0	#DIV/0!				12	12	10	100.00%	83.33%
68	86	79.07%	40	40	10	25.00%				105	79	34	75.24%	32.38%
14	27	51.85%	2	0	0	#DIV/0!				29	28	26	96.55%	89.66%
37	38	97.37%	19	7	0	0.00%				50	45	40	90.00%	80.00%
30	30	100.00%	7	0	0	#DIV/0!				37	21	18	56.76%	48.65%
24	24	100.00%	12	3	1	33.33%				30	12	11	40.00%	36.67%
15	15	100.00%	6	5	1	20.00%				21	20	19	95.24%	90.48%
26	26	100.00%	13	9	3	33.33%				32	30	25	93.75%	78.13%
28	28	100.00%	47	44	8	18.18%				66	66	54	100.00%	81.82%
15	15	100.00%	3	2	1	50.00%				21	19	15	90.48%	71.43%
25	25	100.00%	4	2	0	0.00%				39	37	33	94.87%	84.62%
12	12	100.00%	4	4	2	50.00%				16	16	16	100.00%	100.00%
6	7	85.71%	4	0	0	#DIV/0!				9	6	1	66.67%	11.11%

22	24	91.67%	1	0	0	#DIV/0!
53	54	98.15%	13	3	3	100.00%
5	8	62.50%	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
44	45	97.78%	31	12	3	25.00%
11	12	91.67%	2	0	0	#DIV/0!
11	12	91.67%	13	11	6	54.55%
24	24	100.00%	7	2	0	0.00%
13	13	100.00%	3	2	1	50.00%
51	62	82.26%	39	17	8	47.06%
11	14	78.57%	4	3	1	33.33%
47	51	92.16%	16	4	0	0.00%
10	11	90.91%	3	0	0	#DIV/0!

29	28	25	96.55%	86.21%
70	68	60	97.14%	85.71%
11	11	8	100.00%	72.73%
118	88	67	74.58%	56.78%
15	15	15	100.00%	100.00%
17	7	7	41.18%	41.18%
30	27	25	90.00%	83.33%
15	15	15	100.00%	100.00%
56	40	39	71.43%	69.64%
17	16	15	94.12%	88.24%
57	53	49	92.98%	85.96%
16	16	16	100.00%	100.00%

Part 5: Population				Part 6: Coordinated Entry				Part 7: PIT				Agency	HMIS
% Chronic Clients	% Disabled	% Living Situation	% No Income	Findings	Timely Follow Up	Accurate Referrals	BONUS - # agencies not required	Part. In Jan. 2023	Submit Jan. PIT data	Part. In July 2023	Submit July PIT data		
0.00%	22.73%	4.55%	9.09%	yes <30	100%	93%	1.00	0	0	yes	yes	ADVOCAP	ADVOCAP FDL CoC Rapid Re-Housing
100.00%	100.00%	44.44%	11.11%	yes <30	100%	93%	1.00	0	0	yes	yes	ADVOCAP	ADVOCAP TC Permanent Supportive Housing
10.00%	24.69%	4.94%	0.00%	yes <30	100%	93%	1.00	0	0	yes	yes	ADVOCAP	ADVOCAP TC CoC Rapid Re-Housing
0.00%	29.17%	0.00%	0.00%	yes <30	100%	93%	1.00	0	0	yes	yes	ADVOCAP	ADVOCAP WinnebagoLand CoC Rapid Re-Housing
	57.58%	18.18%	21.21%	yes <30	100%	93%	0.00	0	0	yes	yes	CACSCW	CACSCW Jefferson County Transitional Housing CoC
NA	100.00%	33.33%	58.33%	yes <30	100%	100%	0.00	0	0	yes	yes	CACSCW	CACSCW Waukesha County Project WISH PSH CoC
12.50%	29.52%	28.57%	2.86%	none	95%	91%	2.00	0	0	yes	yes	CAI	CAI RRH
0.00%	72.41%	27.59%	10.34%	none	95%	91%	2.00	0	0	yes	yes	CAI	CAI PSH
0.00%	38.00%	10.00%	20.00%	yes <30	93.33%	93.67%	3.00	0	0	yes	yes	City of Appleton	Fox Cities Housing Coalition Rapid Re-Housing
25.00%	40.63%	15.63%	7.81%	yes <30	93.33%	93.67%	3.00	0	0	yes	yes	City of Appleton	Fox Cities Housing Coalition Rapid Re-Housing Expansion
14.29%	36.67%	23.33%	10.00%	yes <30	76%	96%	3.00	0	0	yes	yes	City of Appleton	Fox Cities HP Rapid Re-Housing
NA	100.00%	52.38%	38.10%	none	100%	98%	3.00	0	0	yes	yes	Couleecap	Couleecap - Housing First Program
NA	100.00%	37.50%	40.63%	none	100%	98%	3.00	0	0	yes	yes	Couleecap	Couleecap - Housing First PSH II
0.00%	18.18%	6.06%	1.52%	yes <30	87%	79%	0.00	0	0	yes	yes	CWCAC	CWCAC - Project Chance CoC Rapid Re-Housing
NA	85.71%	33.00%	0.00%	yes <30	86%	91%	4.00	0	0	yes	yes	KHDS	KHDS KYF Rapid Re-Housing Project
100.00%	100.00%	43.59%	33.33%	yes <30	86%	91%	4.00	0	0	yes	yes	KHDS	KHDS Kenosha Permanent Housing Connections
0.00%	87.50%	25.00%	31.25%	yes <30	86%	91%	4.00	0	0	yes	yes	KHDS	KHDS My HOME RRH Project
NA	100.00%	44.44%	0.00%	yes <30	NA	NA	1.00	0	0	no	yes	Lutheran Social Services	LSS of Eau Claire CoC Rapid Re-housing

NA	72.41%	44.83%	17.24%	yes <30	100%	92%	2.00	0	0	yes	yes	Newcap	NEWCAP Northeast Housing First Permanent Supportive Housing
NA	98.57%	52.86%	38.57%	yes <30	100%	92%	4.00	0	0	yes	yes	Newcap	NEWCAP Brown County COC PSH Households without children
100.00%	45.45%	18.18%	27.27%	yes <30	100%	92%	4.00	0	0	yes	yes	Newcap	NEWCAP Brown County COC PSH Families
8.00%	26.27%	23.73%	16.10%	yes <30	100%	92%	4.00	0	0	yes	yes	Newcap	NEWCAP Brown County COC RRH Youth
NA	100.00%	73.33%	33.33%	none	11%	89%	2.00	0	0	yes	yes	NCCAP	NCCAP Permanent Supportive Housing
0.00%	85.71%	14.29%	0.00%	yes <30	99%	100%	NA	0	0	yes	yes	NWCSA	NWCSA PSH
100.00%	100.00%	36.67%	13.33%	yes <30	76%	96%	3.00	0	0	yes	yes	Pillars	Pillars, Inc. - It Takes a Village SHP PSH
NA	100.00%	60.00%	13.33%	none	NA	NA	2.00	0	0	yes	yes	Walworth County Housing Authority	Walworth County Housing Authority - Hartwell
35.71%	39.29%	32.14%	14.29%	yes <30	100%	96%	2.00	0	0	yes	yes	West CAP	West CAP CoC Rapid Re-housing II
50.00%	88.24%	47.06%	17.65%	yes <30	100%	96%	2.00	0	0	yes	yes	West CAP	West CAP Permanent Supportive Housing
66.67%	63.16%	26.32%	10.53%	yes <30	100%	96%	2.00	0	0	yes	yes	West CAP	West CAP Permanent Supportive Housing II
100.00%	93.75%	31.25%	25.00%	yes <30	100%	96%	1.00	0	0	yes	yes	Western Dairyland	Western Dairyland Permanent Supportive Housing 3



Action Plan Scoring	
Criteria Threshold	Description
8 pts	Coalition set goals, fully met those goals, and created new goals
6 pts	Coalition set goals, fully met some goals and made progress on other goals, and created new goals or expanded clearly on original unmet goals
4 pts	Coalition set goals, did not meet any goals, but provided explanation as to why, is continuing to work on these, and has set other goals and/or expanded the unmet ones
2 pts	coalition set goals, did not meet any goals, provided minimal explanation and does not plan to continue addressing or meeting these goals, has set new goals.
1 pt	Coalition set goals, did not meet any goals, did not provide any explanation or identify a reason, has set new goals
0 pts	Coalition did not set goals and/or has not set new goals

Coordinated Entry

Housing First - HMIS Reports	
Exits to homelessness	% of households that exited to a non-homeless situation
Reasons for exit	% of households that meet the housing first criteria. This includes reasons other than non-payment of rent, non-compliance with program rules, or disagreement with rules/persons

Coordinated Entry Bonus Points

Findings Issued	Scoring is based on whether or not the organization had findings and when they did, how long did it take to resolve them
Timely Follow Up	Scoring is based on whether the agency's follow ups are completed (not expired)
Accurate Referrals	Scoring is based on whether the agency's referral data is complete and accurate

A coalition receives bonus points if agencies that are not required to use CE are referring people to the prioritization list and/or using the list to fill project openings.
Housing Program examples include: TBRA, HPP prevention, HPP rapid rehousing, mainstream vouchers, other housing programs
Vet examples: VASH, VORP, other veteran specific programs
Additional: police department, school districts, PHA, human services, workforce resource, hospitals, other systems of care; other shelter or motel voucher programs that do not receive ESG or required to use CE

Housing First - Monitoring Assessment

Access to housing	Projects are low-barrier at entry. Households are not denied for access within the housing first guidelines. Participant-centered intake process. Compliant with equal access policy.
Participant input	Staff are educating participants on housing first and tenants are informed of their full rights and responsibilities as tenants. Agencies and staff are creating formal opportunities for participant input and feedback about the project.
Leasing or Rental Assistance	Housing is considered permanent. Participant choice in unit location. Full tenant rights, including but not limited to no clauses that would be different than any other tenant; tenants are educated on their lease; eviction avoidance
Services	Participant choice in services. Participant-centered planning, case plan development and goals. Services continually offered even if temporary change in housing status (i.e. short stay in institution). Services offered up to 6 months after exit. Effective services are offered and staff are trained in effective strategies known to increase stability and form trusting relationships (i.e. harm reduction, motivational interviewing, trauma-informed approaches, strengths-based).

Board Approved Policy Decisions & Exceptions

HMIS grant will be placed on Tier 1, at the bottom of the scorable projects. SSO CE (DV and non) will be placed on Tier 1, after the HMIS grant.

New projects created with reallocated relinquished funds and 1st time renewals will be placed after the renewable projects on Tier 1. BONUS projects (with HUD Priority) will be placed on the top of Tier 2. BONUS projects (without HUD Priority) will be placed on the bottom of Tier 2.

To be used in scoring, the APR submission must be accepted by HUD in SAGE. If there is an issue, confirmed by the HUD Milwaukee Field Office, the agency must notify the CoC Director. Limited, case-by-case, exceptions may be made.

New and first year renewals shall be exempt from scoring in the category of "Effective Use of Federal Funds" and "Unit Utilization" and will receive full points for each of those criteria.

If an agency cannot access eLOCCS due to contractual issues with HUD, the agency is responsible to provide evidence of this situation to the CoC Director. If sufficient proof is provided, the agency will be exempt from the category of "eLOCCS Drawdown Rates" and receive full points.

For reoccurrence rate (SPM): if a project has no exits, the project will receive 3 points. If a project had 1 or 2 participants exit, the project will receive a minimum of 3 points. If a project had 3-4 participants exit, the project will receive a minimum of 2 points.

For chronic homeless: if a project can demonstrate that there were no chronic homeless persons on the CE list, they project would be exempt and receive full points.

These grants are not scored but renewable. These grants are placed on the Tier per Board Decision.

Institute for Community Alliances	Wisconsin HMIS Project Renewal
Institute for Community Alliances	Wisconsin HMIS Project Expansion
Wisconsin Balance of State CoC	Supportive Services Only - Coordinated Entry
Wisconsin Balance of State CoC	Supportive Services Only - Coordinated Entry DV
Agencies	Which Grant?
WI Balance of State CoC	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y, DV RRH, YHDP SSO
Institute for Community Alliances	HMIS, HMIS-Y
Brown - Newcap	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y
Brown - Golden House	DV RRH
Central - Renewal Unlimited	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y
Coulee - Couleecap	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y
Dairyland - Western Dairyland	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y
Fox Cities- City of Appleton	SSO, SSO DV
Kenosha - KHDS	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y
Kenosha - Women & Children	DV RRH
Lakeshore - LCAP	SSO, SSO DV
North Central - NCCAP	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y
NE - Newcap	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y, DV RRH
NWISH - Newcap	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y, DV RRH
NW - NWCSA	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y, DV RRH
Ozaukee - FP Ozaukee Cty	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y
Rock Walworth - CAI	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y
Rural North - TSA Burnett	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y
Southwest - SWCAP	SSO, SSO DV
Washington - FP Washington Cty	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y
Waukehsa - HAC	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y
West Central - West CAP	SSO, SSO DV, SSO Y
Winnebagoland - ADVOCAP	SSO, SSO DV, DV RRH

The Project Evaluation Scores											
Project Evaluation Scores	ADVOCAP	ADVOCAP	ADVOCAP	ADVOCAP	CACSCW	CACSCW	CAI	CAI	City of Appleton	City of Appleton	City of Appleton
	Fond du Lac RRH	Winn. PSH	Winn. RRH	COC Winn. RRH	Jefferson TH	PSH	RRH	PSH	Fox Cities RRH	Fox Cities RRH Exp	HP RRH
Total earned plus BONUS	82.75	112.75	127.75	117.75	142.5	147	132	142	149.33	133.33	106
TOTAL Possible	192	192	192	192	184	184	192	192	192	192	192
Percentage Score	43.10%	58.72%	66.54%	61.33%	77.45%	79.89%	68.75%	73.96%	77.78%	69.44%	55.21%

Couleecap	Couleecap	CWCAC	KHDS	KHDS	KHDS	LSS	NEWCAP	NEWCAP	NEWCAP	NEWCAP
Housing First	Housing First 2	RRH	KYF RRH	PSH	My Home RRH	RRH	SHP Housing First PSH	Brown PSH I	Brown PSH Families	Brown Youth RRH

162	144	120	147.5	150.5	159.5	84.5	138.875	149	143	152
184	184	192	184	192	192	164	184	184	182	192
88.04%	78.26%	62.50%	80.16%	78.39%	83.07%	51.52%	75.48%	80.98%	78.57%	79.17%

NCCAP	Pillars	Walworth County Housing Authority	West CAP	West CAP	West CAP	Western Dairyland EOC
PSH	ITAV PSH	PSH	RRH II	PSH	PSH 2	PSH 3
135.75	145	138	139	157	133	155.5
184	192	164	192	192	192	192
73.78%	75.52%	84.15%	72.40%	81.77%	69.27%	80.99%

The Listing: Percentage Scores from Highest to Lowest

FINAL

Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	Type	Project Score (%)	Project Score (Pts)	Project Accept or Reject Status	Amount of Funds Requested	Scoring Tiebreaker (if needed)
1	Coulecap, Inc.	Coulecap Housing First Permanent Housing Program	PSH	88.04%	162 /184	Accept	\$ 243,535.00	\$ 11,366.38
2	Walworth County Housing Authority	Hartwell Street Apartments	PSH	84.15%	138 /164	Accept	\$ 70,810.00	\$ 5,057.86
3	Kenosha Human Development Services, Inc.	MyHOME Rapid Rehousing Project	RRH	83.07%	159.5 /192	Accept	\$ 160,043.00	\$ 17,782.56

4	West Central Wisconsin Community Action Agency, Inc.	West CAP Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	81.77%	157 /192	Accept	\$ 169,467.00	\$ 11,819.21
5	Western Dairyland Economic Opportunity Council, Inc.	Western Dairyland PSH 3	PSH	80.99%	155.5 /192	Accept	\$ 200,233.00	\$ 16,361.58
6	Newcap, Inc.	Brown County PSH Individuals	PSH	80.98%	149 /184	Accept	\$ 952,079.00	\$ 13,678.41
7	Kenosha Human Development Services, Inc.	KYF Rapid Rehousing Project	RRH	80.16%	147.5 /184	Accept	\$ 145,983.00	\$ 24,330.50
8	Community Action Coalition for South-Central Wisconsin	Project WISH	PSH	79.89%	147 /184	Accept	\$ 203,312.00	\$ 18,120.64
9	Newcap, Inc.	Brown County Youth RRH Project	RRH	79.17%	152 /192	Accept	\$ 372,370.00	\$ 9,565.18
10	Newcap, Inc.	Brown County PSH Families	PSH	78.57%	143 /182	Accept	\$ 68,077.00	\$ 8,311.00

11	Kenosha Human Development Services, Inc.	Kenosha Permanent Housing Connections	PSH	78.39%	150.5 /192	Accept	\$ 419,846.00	\$ 12,837.44
12	Couleecap, Inc.	Couleecap Housing First II PSH	PSH	78.26%	144 /184	Accept	\$ 402,141.00	\$ 14,552.41
13	City of Appleton	Fox Cities Housing Coalition RRH Program	RRH	77.78%	149.33 /192	Accept	\$ 191,952.00	\$ 8,387.45
14	Community Action Coalition for South-Central Wisconsin	Jefferson County Transitional Housing Program	TH	77.45%	142.5 /184	Accept	\$ 168,164.00	\$ 15,287.64
15	Pillars, Inc	It Takes a Village Permanent Supportive Housing Program	PSH	75.52%	145 /192	Accept	\$ 190,890.00	\$ 7,490.20
16	Newcap, Inc.	SHP Housing First	PSH	75.48%	138.875 /184	Accept	\$ 214,395.00	\$ 7,392.93

17	Community Action, Inc. of Rock & Walworth Counties	CAI_PSH	PSH	73.96%	142 /192	Accept	\$ 234,206.00	\$ 8,813.38
18	North Central Community Action Program, Inc.	NCCAP Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	73.78%	135.75 /184	Accept	\$ 191,217.00	\$ 17,383.36
19	West Central Wisconsin Community Action Agency, Inc.	West CAP Rapid Re-Housing	RRH	72.40%	139 /192	Accept	\$ 363,988.00	\$ 9,675.68
20	City of Appleton	Fox Cities Housing Coalition RRH Program Expansion	RRH	69.44%	133.33 /192	Accept	\$ 85,187.00	\$ 7,937.90
21	West Central Wisconsin Community Action Agency, Inc.	West CAP Permanent Supportive Housing II	PSH	69.27%	133 /192	Accept	\$ 663,286.00	\$ 16,273.65
22	Community Action, Inc. of Rock & Walworth Counties	CAI_RRH	RRH	68.75%	132 /192	Accept	\$ 503,493.00	\$ 8,443.95

36	ADVOCAP, Inc.	WinnebagoLand Rapid Rehousing	RRH	66.54%	127.75 /192	Accept	\$ 278,224.00	\$ 8,679.61
37	Central Wisconsin Community Action Council, Inc.	Project Chance Rapid Re-Housing	RRH	62.50%	120 /192	Accept	\$ 292,804.00	\$ 12,283.48
38	ADVOCAP, Inc.	COC WinnebagoLand Rapid Rehousing	RRH	61.33%	117.75 /192	Accept	\$ 125,684.00	\$ 24,109.60
39	ADVOCAP, Inc.	WinnebagoLand PSH	PSH	58.72%	112.75 /192	Accept	\$ 137,025.00	\$ 27,071.00
40	City of Appleton	Fox Cities HP Rapid Re-Housing Program	RRH	55.21%	106 /192	Accept	\$ 62,780.00	\$ 14,765.00
41	Lutheran Social Services of Wisconsin and Upper Michigan, Inc.	Welcome Home Eau Claire	RRH	51.52%	84.5 /164	Accept	\$ 92,740.00	\$ 47,138.00

42	ADVOCAP, Inc.	ADVOCAP Fond du Lac Rapid Rehousing	RRH	43.10%	82.75 /192	Accept	\$ 100,608.00	\$ 12,325.50
----	---------------	---	-----	--------	------------	--------	---------------	--------------

Permanent Housing BONUS Funds - Application Scoring																
Applicant Name	Project Type	Reviewer #1	Reviewer #2	Reviewer #3	Reviewer #4	Reviewer #5	Reviewer #6	Reviewer #7	TOTAL	Total possible	Total x 3	Mean	%	RANK	\$ Awarded	Project Name
Community Action Coalition for South-Central Wisconsin	PSH - Expansion	NA	264.5	NA	251	264	NA	NA	779.5	350	1050	259.83	74.24 %	4	\$ 158,000	Project WISH Expansion
Community Action Coalition for South-Central Wisconsin	RRH	199	NA	177	NA	NA	180	NA	556.0	310	930	185.33	59.78 %	6	\$ -	Not selected for funding
ADVOCAP, Inc.	RRH - Expansion	NA	NA	NA	267	265	272	NA	804.0	330	990	268	81.21 %	2	\$ 318,885	Winnebago Rapid Rehousing Expansion
Baraboo Area Homeless Shelter	PSH	NA	NA	330	294	NA	291	NA	915.0	330	990	305	92.42 %	1	\$ 197,269	Home Stretch Permanent Supportive Housing Project

Lakeshore CAP Inc. of Wisconsin	PSH - Expan- sion	NA	312	NA	273	253	NA	NA	838.0	350	1050	279.33	79.81 %	3	\$ 132, 371	PSH Expansi- on
The Salvation Army	PSH	NA	NA	NA	NA	231	250	251	732.0	330	990	244	73.94 %	5	\$ 437, 787	Perman- ent Support ive Housing

DV BONUS Funds - Application Scoring

Applicant Name	Reviewer #1	Reviewer #2	Reviewer #3	Reviewer #4	Reviewer #5	TOTAL	Total possible	Total x 3	Mean	%	RANK
Embrace Services, Inc.	NA	NA	287	413	416	700.0	450	1350	372	82.67%	4
The Women Center	408	411	NA	371	NA	1190.0	430	1290	396.67	92.25%	1
Community Referral Agency	415	NA	303	NA	383	718.0	430	1290	367	85.35%	2
Family Advocates	342	NA	388	NA	340	730.0	430	1290	356.67	82.95%	3

Projects Placed on Scoring Tool Based on Policy

Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	Type	Project Score (%)	Project Score (Pts)	Project Accept or Reject Status	Amount of Funds Requested
23	Hebron House of Hospitality, Inc	Jeremy PSH Project	PSH	<i>1st time renewal (TG)</i>	NA	Accept	\$ 118,755.00
24	Lakeshore CAP Inc. of Wisconsin	Lakeshore PSH	PSH	<i>1st time renewal (TG)</i>	NA	Accept	\$ 140,259.00
25	House of Hope Green Bay, Inc	House of Hope Youth Rapid Re-Housing	RRH	<i>1st time renewal (exp)</i>	NA	Accept	\$ 526,366.00

26	Western Dairyland	PSH 1	PSH	<i>1st time renewal (exp)</i>	NA	Accept	\$ 513,420.00
27	Wisconsin Balance of State Continuum of Care, Inc.	WIBOSCOO RRH Project	RRH	<i>1st time renewal (exp)</i>	NA	Accept	\$4,117,694.00
28	Institute for Community Alliances	Wisconsin HMIS Project Renewal	HMIS	HMIS	NA	Accept	\$ 371,429.00
29	Wisconsin Balance of State Continuum of Care, Inc.	WIBOSCOO Supportive Services for Coordinated Entry	SSO	SSO CE	NA	Accept	\$ 717,935.00
30	Wisconsin Balance of State Continuum of Care, Inc.	WIBOSCOO Supportive Services for Coordinated Entry DV	SSO	SSO CE DV	NA	Accept	\$ 176,000.00
31	Institute for Community Alliances	Wisconsin HMIS Project Expansion	HMIS	HMIS	NA	Accept	\$ 125,004.00

32	Baraboo Area Homeless Shelter	Home Stretch Permanent Supportive Housing Project	PSH	New - Bonus	NA	Accept	\$ 197,269.00
33	The Salvation Army	Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	New - Bonus	NA	Accept	\$ 437,787.00
34	ADVOCAP	WinnebagoLand Rapid Rehousing Expansion	RRH	New - Bonus	NA	Accept	\$ 318,885.00
35	Lakeshore CAP	PSH Expansion	PSH	New - Bonus	NA	Accept	\$ 132,371.00
43	Community Action Coalition for South-Central Wisconsin	Project WISH Expansion	PSH	New - Bonus	NA	Accept	\$ 158,000.00
44	Wisconsin Balance of State Continuum of Care, Inc.	WIBOSCOCC RRH Project Expansion	RRH	New - DV BONUS	NA	Accept	\$1,218,609.00

45	Wisconsin Balance of State Continuum of Care, Inc.	WIBOSCO Supportive Services for Coordinated Entry DV Expansion	SSO	New - DV BONUS	NA	Accept	\$ 121,644.00
----	--	---	-----	----------------------	----	--------	---------------

Non-Competitive - YHDP Renewal & Replacement Grants

1	Central Wisconsin Community Action Council, Inc.	Next Door - Youth Joint TH/RRH in Central	TH - RRH	Renewal		Accept	\$240,000
2	Everyone Cooperating to Help Others	YHDP RRH	RRH	Renewal		Accept	\$187,444
3	Hebron House of Hospitality, Inc	Hebron Youth Housing Program	TH - RRH	Renewal		Accept	\$397,170
4	House of Hope Green Bay, Inc	House of Hope Drop-In Center and Supportive Services for Homeless Youth	SSO	Renewal		Accept	\$300,000

5	Institute for Community Alliances	WI BoS ICA HMIS YHDP	HMIS	Renewal		Accept	\$50,000
6	Kenosha Human Development Services, Inc.	Project YELLOW Door	TH - RRH	Replacement		Accept	\$243,518
7	Newcap, Inc.	Mobile Outreach and Support Team (MOST)	SSO	Renewal		Accept	\$283,000
8	The Salvation Army	YHDP Rural North and West Central	TH - RRH	Renewal		Accept	\$399,254
9	Western Dairyland Economic Opportunity Council, Inc.	Housing Assistance Program for Youth (HAPY)	SSO	Renewal		Accept	\$355,601
10	Wisconsin Balance of State Continuum of Care, Inc.	WIBOSCOC YHDP SSO CE	SSO	Renewal		Accept	\$125,000

11	Wisconsin Balance of State Continuum of Care, Inc.	WIBOSCOC YHDP SSO	SSO	Renewal		Accept	\$800,502
12	YWCA La Crosse	In the KNO (Kinship, Navigation, & Outreach)	SSO	Renewal		Accept	\$283,000
		TOTAL					\$0